#### PLANNING COMMITTEE REPORT

# 

Development Management Service Planning and Development Division Environment and Regeneration Department PO Box 3333 222 Upper Street LONDON N1 1YA

## PLANNING COMMITTEE

**Date:** 9<sup>Th</sup> October 2018

#### AGENDA ITEM NO: B1 EXEMPT

Application number	P2017/3429/FUL					
Application type	Full Planning Application					
Ward	Finsbury Park					
Listed building	Unlisted					
Conservation area	n/a					
Development Plan Context	Finsbury Park Town Centre Finsbury Park Key area Major and Local Cycle Routes Local Flood Risk Zone Adjacent to TLRN Adjacent to Railway Land					
Licensing Implications	Premises licence required					
Site Address	240 Seven Sisters Road (including 240a, 240b and 240c), Islington, LONDON, N4 2HX					
Proposal	Demolition of existing buildings and redevelopment of the site to provide a building of 8 storeys (ground plus 7 upper storeys) accommodating a 192-bedroom hotel (C1 use), ground floor bar/restaurant (A4/A3 use) together with ancillary hard and soft landscaping, cycle parking, refuse storage, and related works.					
0 011						

Case Officer	Matt Duigan
Applicant	Tide Construction Ltd
Agent	DP9 Ltd

#### 1. **RECOMMENDATION**

The Committee is asked to resolve to **GRANT** planning permission subject to:

- a) the conditions set out in Appendix 1; and
- b) the prior completion of a Deed of Planning Obligation made under section 106 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 securing the heads of terms as set out in Appendix 1; and

- c) any direction by the Mayor of London to refuse the application or for it to be called in for the determination by the Mayor of London.
- 2. SITE PLAN (site outlined)



### 3. PHOTOS OF SITE/STREET

Photograph 1: Birdseye of application site



**Photograph 2:** View from Seven Sisters Road looking south across site to adj. student block



# Photograph 3: View from Seven Sisters Road



Photograph 4: Wider site context





Photograph 6: View from Isledon Road towards Seven Sisters Road



#### 4. SUMMARY

- 4.1 The application is for the demolition of existing buildings and redevelopment of the site to provide a building of 8 storeys (ground plus 7 upper storeys) accommodating a 192-bedroom hotel (C1 use), ground floor bar/restaurant (A4/A3 use), together with ancillary hard and soft landscaping, cycle parking, refuse storage, and related works.
- 4.2 Officers have placed significant weight on the fact that there is an acute shortage of land in the Borough to meet pressing housing need. As such, careful consideration has been given to whether a hotel (as opposed to residential development) is appropriate at this site. In this case the Council's Public Protection officer has raised concern that the location of the site, adjacent to a railway line and busy road, means that future occupiers of the site will be subjected to noise and vibration, making the site unsuitable for permanent residential accommodation. The use of the site as permanent residential

accommodation is further restricted by the shape and size of the site, as well as the proximity to neighbouring developments.

- 4.3 The proposed hotel would be within the designated Finsbury Park Town Centre, and as such is considered acceptable in land use terms and accords with relevant policy (Policy DM4.11 of the Development Management Polices 2013), and the Finsbury Park Development Framework SPD (2015).
- 4.4 The application has responded successfully to the comments made by the Design Review Panel (DRP) in terms of height, massing and overall design and is considered to be sufficiently sympathetic in scale and appearance to the local area, respecting the setting of the Grade II\* listed 'Rainbow Thatre'.
  - 4.5 The proposal would create a more attractive public realm and result in a more pedestrian-friendly environment. The application would meet inclusive design requirements and is considered to be a sustainable form of development in terms of energy efficiency, renewable energy and the provision of sustainable forms of transport.
  - 4.6 For the reasons given above and explained in more detail in the subsequent sections of this report, the proposal is considered to be acceptable and is thus recommended for approval subject to referral to the Greater London Authority (for consideration by the Mayor of London at Stage 2) and the imposition of conditions and the completion of a section 106 agreement to secure the necessary obligations.

#### 5. SITE AND SURROUNDING

- 5.1 The site is 0.12 hectares in size, and is located on the south-eastern side of the junction of Seven Sisters Road and Isledon Road. The site's eastern boundary is formed by the railway viaduct of the East Coast Main Line. To the south of the site is the 7-storey development at 189 to 219 Isledon Road.
- 5.2 The larger part of the site is vacant, cleared and hoarded, although there are single-storey commercial properties (240a, 240b and 240c Seven Sisters Road) within the red line site boundary. Two of the commercial properties are occupied by restaurant / café businesses (Use class A3) and the other commercial premises accommodates a hair dressing salon (Use class A1). These form part of a terrace of 5 commercial properties, and it is noted that the remaining 2 properties (240d and 240e Seven Sisters Road) do not form part of the application site.
- 5.3 Surrounding uses include student accommodation and a gym (in the Pure Highbury development at 189-219 Isledon Road), retail and other town centre uses along Seven Sisters Road, a mosque, welfare centre, bookshop and related uses at the Muslim Welfare House at 233 Seven Sisters Road, and the former Rainbow Theatre (at the corner of Seven Sisters Road and Isledon Road) currently used as a place of worship by the Universal Church of the Kingdom of God.
- 5.4 The site is within the boundary of the Finsbury Park Town Centre, but is not within the Town Centre's Primary or Secondary Frontages. Secondary Frontages exist opposite the site at 209 to 233 Seven Sisters Road. Heritage assets close to the site include the former Rainbow Theatre (Grade II\* listed). The site itself is not within a conservation area.

- 5.5 Heights surrounding the site vary, but remain within the predominant low- to medium-rise scale found across Islington. The recently-constructed neighbouring development incorporating student accommodation and a gym (Pure Highbury) is 7 storeys in height. The railway viaduct adjacent to the site rises approximately 8m above street level. Buildings to the north of the site on the opposite side of Seven Sisters Road are 3 storeys in height with pitched roofs above.
- 5.6 The site has a high PTAL score of 6b, indicating excellent access to public transport. Several bus routes serve Seven Sisters Road and Isledon Road. Finsbury Park station is a busy intermodal interchange station in north London. The interchange consists of a National Rail station, a London Underground station and two bus stations, all interconnected. An entrance/exit to/from Finsbury Park station exists directly opposite the site on the northwest side of Seven Sisters Road, providing access to the Victoria and Piccadilly lines. National rail services also serve Finsbury Park station.
- 5.7 Seven Sisters Road and Isledon Road are TfL-controlled routes. A 23m long loading and disabled parking bay exists outside 240a to 240e Seven Sisters Road. Parking restrictions (including special match day restrictions) apply to all streets in the area surrounding the site. A pedestrian crossing exists at the road junction immediately outside the site. The setting back of the Pure Highbury development has effectively created a widened pavement, which pedestrians are able to use.

#### 6. PROPOSAL (IN DETAIL)

- 6.1 The application proposes to demolish existing buildings on the site (namely a hair dressing salon and café/restaurant businesses) and creating an 8 storey building accommodating 192 hotel rooms.
- 6.2 At basement level would be 10 hotel rooms, as well as plant and other ancillary spaces for the operation of the hotel. At ground floor level there would be a hotel reception, and separate bar and restaurant facilities. A further 182 hotel rooms would be located on the upper floors.
- 6.3 Loading and unloading would be from an existing loading bay on Seven Sisters Road. The proposed scheme has a primary alignment to Seven Sisters Road (maximising the street frontage). The building has an almost triangular footprint and a T-shaped floorplan on the upper floors responding to the internal layout of the hotel accommodation.
- 6.4 The proposal also responds to the footprint of the neighbouring Pure Highbury development with a set back ground floor entrance. The ground floor street frontage is glazed, while the upper floors consist of a horizontal brick frame, expressed stone slabs, metal panels and variation of window modules. The façade is also curved in order to respond to the corner context and the angle of the Seven Sisters Road / Isledon Road junction.
- 6.5 The building would provide an active street frontage to Seven Sisters Road and an improvement to the public realm with associated hard and soft landscaping, cycle parking and related works. The hotel accommodation is grouped around a central core arrangement. The ground floor entrance to the hotel is set back from the corner of Isledon Road and Seven Sisters Road and provides access to the hotel reception, which leads to back-of-house facilities and lift and stair core.

6.6 The bar and restaurant has its own entrance from the middle of the building's Seven Sisters Road street frontage. On the site's boundary with 240d Seven Sisters Road would be an access to a small rear yard with bicycle storage. The roof plan shows a green roof, solar PVs, plant area and lift overruns.

#### **Revision 1**

- 6.7 As initially submitted, the application proposed the demolition of existing buildings and redevelopment of the site to provide a building of 10 storeys (ground plus 9 upper storeys) accommodating a 228-bedroom hotel (C1 use), ground floor bar/restaurant (A4/A3 use) and basement music venue (D2 use), together with ancillary hard and soft landscaping, cycle parking, refuse storage, and related works.
- 6.8 In May 2018 the application was amended to provide a building of 8 storeys (ground plus 7 upper storeys) accommodating a 182-bed hotel (C1 use), ground floor bar/restaurant (A3/A4 use) and basement live music venue (D2 use). The proposal was revised to reduce the height by two storeys in order to be more sympathetic to its surrounding context and so as to reduce impact on the setting of nearby heritage assets. Additionally, alterations were made to the eastern façade (i.e. the side seen from Finsbury Park train station platforms) to address concerns raised by the GLA that the elevation lacked visual interest.

#### Revision 2

- 6.9 In July 2018, advice from the Council's noise, licensing and finance officers was that there was a combination of overlapping issues which meant that the live music venue was unworkable, as such this option is no longer supported by officers.
- 6.10 Because of the sites inclusion in the town centre, it is required to provide an element of affordable workspace. The Applicant proposed the affordable workspace in the basement. The Council's affordable workspace officer advised that the size of the space, its location in Finsbury Park, and the lack of natural light and outlook would mean the proposed affordable workspace was unsuitable. The Council's affordable workspace officer advised in this case it would be preferable to take a financial contribution towards off site affordable workspace. The Applicant agreed to an offsite contribution towards affordable workspace, and the scheme design was revised to omit onsite workspace. The proposal now involves an 8 storey building, accommodating bar/restaurant facilities as well as 192 hotel rooms.

#### 7. RELEVANT HISTORY:

#### 240 Seven Sisters Road:

- 890610: planning permission granted on the 26/03/1990 for the erection of a 3-storey building for use as retail on ground floor and offices above.
- 980444: permission granted on the 14/05/1998 for the erection of a metal staircase from first floor to ground level to northeast elevation at The Powerhaus.
- P051077: permission granted on the 20/07/2005 for the refurbishment and extension of the existing building to provide a bar, nightclub, casino and music venue.

- P101545: LB Islington granted planning permission on 26/11/2010 for the renewal of planning permission ref: P051077.
- E12/06309 and 18/2014 issued notice 01/07/2014 under Section 215 (land and buildings adversely affecting the amenity of the area) regarding 240 Seven Sisters Road.
- P2014/1189/EIA determined on the 16/04/2014 that EIA was required for the demolition of 240 Seven Sisters Road.
- Department for Communities and Local Government (National Planning Casework Unit) determined on 18/06/2014 (NPCU/EIASCR/V5570/73789) that EIA was not required for demolition of the former Sir George Robey PH.
- P2014/3044/PRA determined on the 21/08/2014 that prior approval was required and refused for the demolition of the Sir George Robey PH.
- P2014/3669/PRA determined on the 09/10/2014 that prior approval was required and approved for the demolition of the Sir George Robey PH.

#### 240a Seven Sisters Road:

• P040886 granted on the 18/08/2004 for the change of use of the existing shop (A1 use) to food and drink (A3 use).

#### 240c Seven Sisters Road:

- P002729 granted on the 19/01/2001 for the erection of a rear extension and change of use to A3 (café/restaurant).
- P2016/2308/FUL granted on the 08/08/2016 for alterations to the shopfront including the installation of new external security grilles.
- P2016/2355/ADV advertisement consent granted 08/08/2016 for display of a fascia sign.

#### 240e Seven Sisters Road:

- 960051 granted permission on the 04/03/1996 for the use as a mini-cab office.
- P060468 advertisement consent 10/04/2006 for the installation of a shop sign.
- P060186 granted 10/04/2006 for the installation of a new shop front fascia.

#### Northern side of Seven Sisters Road

Officers have also considered the authorised use of nearby premises so as to clarify where neighbouring residential occupiers are located.

#### 225-229 Seven Sisters Road

- 861572 granted permission on the 21/01/1987 for the change of use of the premises to provide offices, rehearsal rooms and a crèche.
- P031580 granted permission on the 24/11/2003 for the construction of new shopfronts, erection of single storey rear extension and other rear elevation alterations all in association with continued use as mixed use with associated offices. The plans show that the first, second and rooms in the roof were to be used as office space.
- Council tax and Building Control records also show the premises from 225 to 229 Seven Sisters Road to have been in commercial use since at least 2004.

#### 223 Seven Sisters Road

- P052720 granted permission on the 09/03/2006 to change of use of first and second floors from dental surgery to B1 office use.
- Council tax and Building Control records also show the premises at 223 Seven Sisters Road to have been in commercial use since 1995.

#### 221 Seven Sisters Road

- 820511 granted permission on 23/06/1982 to use the premises as offices.
- Council tax and Building Control records also show the premises at 221 Seven Sisters Road to have been in commercial use since 2001.

#### PRE-APPLICATION ADVICE:

 Q2016/0687/MJR and Q2016/4968/MJR – advice given between summer 2016 and summer 2017 that visitor accommodation is supported by policy within town centres.

Advice given included that the previous A4 public house / music venue use should be re-provided as part of a mixed use development. Additionally, that it would be sensible to include some of the adjoining single storey commercial units (240a, 240b and 240c Seven Sisters Road) in order to provide a more comprehensive approach to redevelopment and to allow for the creation of a more cohesive street frontage.

#### 8. CONSULTATION

#### Public Consultation

- 8.1 Letters were sent to occupants of 582 adjoining and nearby properties on 22 September 2017 and a site notice and press advert were displayed on 28 September 2017. The public consultation of the application therefore expired on 13 October 2017, however it is the Council's practice to continue to consider representations made up until the date of a decision.
- 8.2 In response to the first round of consultation, two responses had been received from the public with regard to the application. The issues raised can be summarised as follows (with the paragraph that provides responses to each issue indicated within brackets):

#### Positive submissions:

- A hotel will generate jobs and attract visitor spending to Finsbury Park;
- The scheme would create an active frontage to Seven Sisters Road;
- Non-continuous facade at street level, reflecting the typical width of shopfronts in the surrounding area;
- Brick facade at upper levels, with a light colour palette, reflecting the surrounding vernacular;
- Widening of the pavement, and the introduction of street trees on Seven Sisters Road;
- General height and massing, with stepped-back top floors.

#### **Objections / Concerns:**

- The staggered windows do not reflect the Victorian terraced vernacular of surrounding streets, and remove the human scale from the design. (The façade design has been considered by both the Design Review Panel and the Council's Design and Conservation officer, and the façade design is considered acceptable and would help to enhance the street scape, particularly in delivering a cohesive street frontage along Seven Sisters Road).
- The width of the pavement and the existing pedestrian crossings spanning Seven Sisters Road are not fit for purpose. The development is likely to increase demands on these. (*The pavement width along Seven Sisters Road* would be increased by the proposal. The existing pedestrian crossings spanning Seven Sisters Road are controlled by TfL, who have raised no objection to the proposal in terms of impact on crossings).
- Hotel operators often install advertising and branding that is unwelcome in size, number, illumination, and harms the skyline including visibility from key viewpoints such as railway lines. This could be restricted, conditioned and controlled. (Any advertising or signage will require separate consent).
- It is understood that the design has developed in consultation with hotel operators, but that an occupier has not been secured to date. There is a risk that once the principle of hotel use is established, the eventual occupier will develop the design in a less acceptable direction, in order to reflect their own business model and house style. (*Planning conditions require approval of materials and samples, to ensure quality is maintained, irrespective of the operator. Conditions would also be imposed on any consent to ensure the hotel management is appropriate, irrespective of the actual named operator).*
- The junction of Seven Sisters Road/Isledon Road/Fonthill Road needs to be improved from a pedestrian safety point of view. (*The junction is controlled by TfL, who have raised no objection to the proposal in terms of a pedestrian safety point of view. Planning obligations are recommended to ensure safety audits are undertaken*).
- 8.3 The application was revised to reduce the height by two floors and additionally omit the live music venue proposal. As such a second round of consultation was undertaken on 18 August 2018. Letters were sent to occupants of 582 adjoining and nearby properties and a site notice and press advert were displayed. The public consultation of the application therefore expired on 07 September 2018, however it is the Council's practice to continue to consider representations made up until the date of a decision.
- 8.4 There were no responses made by the public in response to the second round of consultation.

#### External Consultees

- 8.5 **Greater London Authority** stage 1 response letter confirming:
  - Principle of a hotel redevelopment is acceptable in strategic planning terms

- The loss of the existing hairdressing salon, and café/restaurant uses is acceptable;
- The proposed design is supported, subject to further attention being given to proposed eastern elevation;
- Further details required on energy strategy and sustainable drainage;
- Transport issues need addressing re cycle parking, drop off/pick up facilities; investigate off street servicing; re-assess delivery and servicing; consideration of public realm and revisions to trip generation and travel plan.

(The GLA were concerned the building (as originally proposed) lacked architectural interest when viewed from the train station (over-ground) platform. In response, the architect has reconsidered this elevation. The design of now incorporates staggered panels of intricately bonded brick work. The eastern elevation also features and expressed edge beam to emphasize the horizontal scale of that façade. The brick panels have a vertical bias, to counter the horizontal edge beam. The Council's Design and Conservation officer raises no objection to the proposal).

#### 8.6 **Transport for London**

- The A503 Seven Sisters Road and Isledon Road form part of the Transport for London Network (TLRN), for which TfL is the highway authority. A s278 agreement and conditions as well as planning obligations are required re maintenance and construction impact. (*Planning obligations are recommended to deal with this*).
- Recommended condition regarding London Underground infrastructure. (see condition 27).
- Welcomed car free proposal but asked for further explanation how needs of disabled people travelling to and from the site will be met. (*Planning obligations are to be secured to improve on street provision of accessible parking bays and improvements to the accessibility of public transport*).
- Accepted that due to site constraints no dedicated coach parking can be provided. However, a 'no group booking' condition should be imposed to avoid coaches serving the site. (See Condition 30).
- Cycle Parking: Based on the new land use mix submitted of a 192-bedroom hotel and a 445 sqm restaurant and bar at ground floor level. Considering the case history, TfL can accept provision of cycle parking in accordance with current London Plan standards rather than the draft new London Plan. This makes the total requirement 12 long-stay and 13 short-stay spaces. (As the cycle storage is in part on the footway, this is to be secured by way of a planning obligation and planning Condition (23)).
- As discussed at the recent meeting between Islington and TfL, we would prefer for the short-stay spaces to be located adjacent to the main hotel entrance with limited provision at the kerbside on Seven Sisters Road close to the proposed restaurant/bar entrance. Pedestrian flows along the footway on Seven Sisters Road can be difficult to manage and TfL is concerned about maintaining clear footway space. At this stage we remind the applicant and Council that to deliver cycle parking on-street a Section 278 (S278) will be required with TfL as the highway authority for Seven Sisters Road. A S278 with TfL should therefore be secured by condition or a clause in the Section 106 (S106) agreement. We suggest the cycle parking drawings submitted for the scheme are labelled as 'indicative only' or similar for now and the final positioning for Sheffield stands in the public realm is agreed with TfL as part of the S278

detailed design process. (*Planning obligations are recommended to deal with this*).

- Construction: TfL raised concerns about construction at both Pre-application and Stage 1 of the GLA referral process. We do not object on construction grounds; it is likely that that construction can be facilitated if the application receives planning permission. However, extensive further engagement with TfL will be required to agree an appropriate construction Logistics Plan (CLP) is secured by condition and discharged in consultation with TfL. We would also welcome a condition restricting construction traffic to outside periods of peak network congestion (7-10am and 4-7pm). (See Condition 4).
- We also remind the applicant that approvals from TfL will be required for all temporary and permanent works and traffic management proposed along Seven Sisters Road. In principle TfL do not support the footway closure proposed for long periods. Clearly there should be a priority for pedestrian movement. The Applicant's construction team should carry out a survey of pedestrian flow outside the site and share the results with TfL. It may be preferable to create a pit lane in the carriageway for one or more phases of the build, subject to traffic modelling demonstrating this would not cause bus journey time delays or extensive queuing of vehicles on the TLRN. (An informative will be added to any consent to advise the Applicant that the Construction Logistics Plan must be informed by the TfL advice).
- The arrangement proposed at the southern end of the construction access, adjacent to the pedestrian crossing, may need to be redesigned. A Stage 1 Road Safety Audit will need to be carried out when it is developed in further detail. TfL is concerned about how pedestrians can be managed to make sure they comply with banksmen and cross Seven Sisters Road as would be required to avoid safety issues. The construction access may also limit visibility at and around the pedestrian crossing and traffic signals, especially when occupied by construction vehicles. Any significant increased risk of potential conflicts and collisions would be completely unacceptable, especially considering the Mayor and TfL's commitment to delivering a 'Vision Zero' approach in London to make its streets safer for all. (*Planning obligations are recommended to deal with this*).
- We look forward to discussing these issues further with the Applicant as and when necessary.
- Servicing/refuse: The site is served by an existing loading bay to the north. Notwithstanding the concerns expressed by TfL above about access during construction, all servicing for the new hotel proposed, including refuse collections, should take place using the existing loading bay in accordance with existing on-street restrictions. (See Condition 6).
- 8.7 **Network Rail -** With reference to the protection of the railway, Network Rail has no objection in principle to the development. Network Rail suggested various conditions and informatives because of the close proximity to the railway retaining wall and the electrified railway. Network Rail advised that there is a requirement for the Applicant to contact their property services team. They also advised that surface and foul water must be diverted away from Network Rail property: crane usage would need to be controlled along with excavations/earthworks. Other issues Network Rail will need to agree with include security; method of construction; demolition; use of machinery and scaffolding. Further advice was provided in relation to required separation distances to the operational railway; noise / soundproofing; trees / shrubs /

landscaping; lighting; access to the railway; glint/glare. (*These matters are addressed in Condition 28 and by way of informatives*).

8.8 **English Heritage** - Raised no objection and stated that the scheme should be determined in accordance with national and local policy guidance, and on the basis of the Council's specialist conservation advice.

#### 8.9 **London Fire and Emergency Planning Authority**

- There should be fire brigade access to the perimeter of the building(s) and sufficient hydrants and water mains in the vicinity.
- This Authority strongly recommends that sprinklers are considered for new developments and major alterations to existing premises, particularly where the proposals relate to schools and care homes. Sprinkler systems installed in buildings can significantly reduce the damage caused by fire and the consequential cost to businesses and housing providers, and can reduce the risk to life. The Brigade opinion is that there are opportunities for developers and building owners to install sprinkler systems in order to save money, save property and protect the lives of occupier. (Informatives are recommended to advise the Applicant of the above matters).

#### 8.10 Crime Prevention Design Advisor

- Access and Movement Within the hotel layout I would suggest the main reception is the central location for the meet and greeting of guests. From this location the access and movement throughout the entire building can be controlled. Any encrypted FOB access or card readers issued to residents should then allow access via lift control, stair cores and also each individual floor. This has the added benefit of restricting the movement of guests between floors and deter anti-social behaviour by large group bookings. Having access control from reception will also assist with the integrity of the building as with a bar present there is implied permission that any person may use it and therefore non-residents can access this area.
- Safe location for the storage of left luggage by guests, protected with PAS24:2016 door and strict management policy on its use.
- Training should be given to hotel staff on the risks of Child Sexual Exploitation and on how to identify the signs that this is occurring. Presentations from the Metropolitan Police can be given to all staff under 'Operation Makesafe'.
- CCTV could be used in these vulnerable points to reinforce any security put in place.
- Any cycle storage should be in a position where there is good natural surveillance, the stand used should allow for three points of locking (both wheels and the frame) and covered by CCTV. If within a secure room they should have the same security stands and protected with a PAS24:2016 door, minimum of two magnetic locks (two thirds from top and bottom) of the frame controlled with encrypted FOB access. Self-locking and self-closing mechanism fitted and no advertising what the use to the room is for.
- Bin storage should be separate from the building but if it does have access into the hotel then the connecting door will need to be a PAS24:2016 minimum of two magnetic locks (two thirds from top and bottom) of the frame controlled with encrypted FOB access. Self-locking and self-closing mechanism fitted. The door to public realm can be 'robust and fit for purpose' with self-locking and selfclosing mechanisms and no advertising what the use of the room is. <u>(See Condition 26 and informatives)</u>

- 8.11 **London Underground** Though we have no objection in principle to the above planning application there are a number of potential constraints on the redevelopment of a site situated close to underground tunnels and infrastructure. Therefore, it will need to be demonstrated to the satisfaction of LUL engineers that:
  - the development will not have any detrimental effect on our tunnels and structures either in the short or long term;
  - the design must be such that the loading imposed on our tunnels or structures is not increased or removed;
  - we offer no right of support to the development or land.

Therefore, we request that the grant of planning permission be subject to conditions and informatives requiring the submission of a design and method statement for all of the foundation, basement and ground floor structures. (See Condition 27 and Informatives).

#### Internal Consultees

#### 8.12 Access Officer

- The site has a PTAL rating of 6b which is clearly an advantage, but the applicant is reminded that the rating takes no account of the accessibility of public transport to people with mobility impairments. Essential car use and a range of sustainable alternatives should therefore be provided on site, or a contribution secured to fund off site provision. The contribution being directed towards sustainable transport alternatives, which can include dial a ride, taxi plus, accessible cycle parking. The S.106 contribution should be of £2,000 x 22 bays (£44,000) towards sustainable transport, or turning conventional parking bays into wheelchair bays.
- It is noted that 10% of bedrooms will be wheelchair accessible, which is welcome. There will be an accessible WC on each floor that will enhance the visitability of the accommodation.
- The applicant has taken into account the key principles of inclusive design as set out in DM 2.2, which is welcome. The applicants state that "Lifts serving all floors within the building are DDA compliant with both audio and visual destination display, complete with stand-by power generation, we would be looking for adherence to BS8300:2009 and our own Inclusive Design SPD.
- In the basement there is an accessible shower for the use of all staff.
- The choice of rooms with either bath or shower is welcome.
- In terms of cycle racks, we will be looking at a way of providing Sheffield racks along the kerb and outside the hotel's main entrance (which is more spacious). We also consider that the absence of music venue would imply that less racks are needed along this pavement.
- The applicant is reminded that customers may receive visitors who could need power charging for their mobility scooters. Therefore, short term visitors should be allowed to charge their mobility scooter if they require so.
- It is positive that lift 2 has become an evacuation lift. It will be the responsibility of the building's management team to evacuate all users in an emergency.

(Conditions 9 and 10 and planning obligations are recommended to address the above).

#### 8.13 Conservation and Design Officer

<u>Height:</u> The site is within the setting of the GII\* listed former Rainbow Cinema. The townscape is otherwise characterised by low rise (3 storey) Victorian shops with flats above, although it is acknowledged that the site is immediately adjacent to a 7 storey modern student housing block. The previous (10 storey) proposals would have substantially harmed the setting of the GII\* listed building and the locally listed building, as well as the townscape, by virtue of the excessive height being an uncharacteristic and overbearing form of development that would have dominated the surrounding buildings.

An 8 storey building is now proposed. While the reduction in height is welcomed a building of this height, which is one storey higher than the adjacent 7 storey modern student housing block, will still cause some harm to the setting of the GII\* listed former Rainbow Cinema. However, this harm is less than substantial and should be weighed against the public benefits.

<u>Design Detailing:</u> The lower part of the brick piers should be a dark brick (engineering brick) or dark stone (granite) as light brickwork stains very badly in this heavily trafficked and polluted area. (See Condition 3, which controls materials).

<u>Landscaping</u>: Removable trees in raised planters were proposed to the wide pavement under which services run. These should be secured if there is no better alternative.

<u>Response to DRP concerns:</u> The DRP raised concern over the height as originally proposed (10 storeys), and recommended that two storeys be removed. The applicant reduced the overall height in accordance with the DRP advice (an 8 storey building is now proposed).

The DRP also sought to know more about how servicing would work and recommended the wider area be reviewed in case there was a possibility of wider improvements being made. In response the Applicant did review the wider area in conjunction with adjoining landowners. Ultimately, while no agreement was reached with the student accommodation building (Pure Highbury) at 189 Isledon Road, the Applicant has set the hotel back to allow a greater area to be dedicated to footway and public realm, and purchased three adjoining properties (240a, 240b and 240c Seven Sisters Road). This has allowed the scheme to more comprehensively address Seven Sisters Road in street scape terms, and also allows an accessway to be created along the eastern boundary of the site leading from the servicing bay to the 'back of house' areas (bins stores etc) of the hotel.

#### 8.14 Energy Conservation Officer:

The energy strategy involves a low carbon approach for the design of the building's fabric and associated engineering system has been used to minimise energy use.

The Energy Statement commits to a Carbon Offset contribution of £328,440. This is the correct sum for the 357 tonnes of outstanding emission (and will need to be secured as a planning obligation).

The proposed U-values for the development are as follows: external walls = 0.15, roof = 0.15, floors = 0.15, doors = 1 and windows = 0.9. These are better than the values recommended in the Environmental Design SPD.

Energy demand will be curbed by incorporating measures including high levels of thermal insulation, detailing to reduce air permeability and thermal bridging, and low-energy lighting.

A study of the nearest district heating network identified the nearest convenient connection is some 670m from the application site. The Council's energy services team accept that this makes connection at present unworkable.

Suitable wording would be included in the application's section 106 agreement to ensure potential future connection in the event that a DEN is established in the future.

Conditions are required to ensure PV panels are installed on the roof. These should be combined with a green roof to provide the added benefits of reducing flood risk, improving ecology and biodiversity, reducing urban heat island effect, improving air quality etc.

(The various requirements are to be secured by Conditions 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17 and by way of planning obligations).

#### 8.15 **Tree Preservation / Landscape Officer** – No objection.

8.16 **Refuse/Recycling** – No objection subject to a condition to secure a refuse / recycling management strategy.

(See Condition 22)

#### 8.17 Public Protection / Pollution Officer

<u>Noise and Vibration</u>: The site is bounded by the East Coast Main line, Thameslink and other lines operating out of Finsbury Park to the east on elevated lines. The Piccadilly line runs close under the site also. To the north and east of the site is the Seven Sisters Road with the junction of Isledon Road/Fonthill Road directly opposite. Therefore the site is subject to high levels of vibration and noise, both airborne and groundborne noise. The site is listed as an "Important Area" in the DEFRA noise maps i.e. where the 1% of the population that are affected by the highest noise levels from major roads are located. To the north of Finsbury Park is a road rail access point and frequent noise from maintenance of the railway would also impact upon occupiers. The site would be classified as Noise Exposure Category D in the guidance where planning permission for permanent residential development should be refused.

Any proposed permanent residential accommodation on the site would result in sealed facades with mechanical ventilation with potential overheating issues. External areas would be subjected to impacts well in excess of World Health Organization guidelines and so compliant balconies and external amenity spaces would be unfeasible. The EPPP team would strongly object to any proposed permanent residential development on the site.

Permitting residential at this location would make it difficult to object to future applications for uses that generate significant levels of noise being located next to existing residential properties.

Clearly for a hotel use, the likely time that an occupier would be in the room and the exposure that an occupier would experience would differ from that of an occupier of

a permanent residential property and also with a shorter period of stay. Hence a hotel use is less sensitive. With guests spending less time in a hotel, there is a lower expectation that windows should be openable, particularly given the trade off for a hotel room next to a major transport hub.

<u>Air quality:</u> There is no safe level for air pollution exposure and all of Islington is an Air Quality Management Area. Clearly this is an area of particularly poor air quality. Assessed against the EU air quality objectives, the site exceeds the NO2 annual mean at every level facing onto Seven Sisters Road.

The following conditions should be imposed:

<u>Condition:</u> "The design and installation of new items of fixed plant shall be such that when operating the cumulative noise level LAeq Tr arising from the proposed plant, measured or predicted at 1m from the facade of the nearest noise sensitive premises, shall be a rating level of at least 5dB(A) below the background noise level LAF90 Tbg. The measurement and/or prediction of the noise should be carried out in accordance with the methodology contained within BS 4142: 2014."

(See condition 18)

Details of the plant are not known at the moment, so a post installation report is recommended to demonstrate compliance with the above condition:

<u>Condition:</u> "A report is to be commissioned by the applicant, using an appropriately experienced & competent person, to assess the noise from the proposed mechanical plant to demonstrate compliance with condition 16. The report shall include measurement of the new plant following installation. The report shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority within 2 months of the use hereby permitted."

(See Condition 24)

To address air quality impacts at the site for new receptors and for existing nearby receptors the following condition is advised:

<u>Condition:</u> "Before commencement of the development, an air quality report shall be submitted to and agreed by the Local Planning Authority. The report shall detail:

- the area within the boundary of the site, which may exceed relevant national air quality objectives.
- specify how the detailed application will address any potential to cause relevant exposure to air pollution levels exceeding the national air quality objectives.
- identify areas of potential exposure.
- *detail how the development will reduce its impact on local air pollution.*

Regard shall be had to the guidance from the Association of London Government "Air quality assessment for planning applications – Technical Guidance Note", the GLA's Air Quality Neutral policy and "Sustainable Design and Construction" SPG and EP-UK & IAQM's "Planning For Air Quality" in the compilation of the report."

(See Condition 20)

With the proximity to the railway line and new receptors being introduced to the area I would advise the following contaminated land condition too:

<u>Condition:</u> "Prior to the commencement of development the following assessment in response to the NPPF and in accordance with CLR11 and BS10175:2011 shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority

- a) The development shall be carried out strictly in accordance with the Jomas Associates ground investigation report dated 04 August 2017 and CLR11 and any scheme of remedial works so approved and no change therefrom shall take place without the prior written approval of the Local Planning Authority.
- b) Following completion of measures identified in the approved remediation scheme a verification report, that demonstrates the effectiveness of the remediation carried out, must be produced which is subject to the approval in writing of the Local Planning Authority in accordance with part a) of this condition.

Disruption would be caused would be caused during the demolition/construction process so the following condition is advised:

<u>Condition:</u> "A Construction Environmental Management Plan assessing the environmental impacts (including (but not limited to) noise, air quality including dust, smoke and odour, vibration and TV reception) of the development shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority prior to any works commencing on site. The report shall assess impacts during the construction phase of the development on nearby residents and other occupiers together with means of mitigating any identified impacts. The development shall be carried out strictly in accordance with the details so approved and no change therefrom shall take place without the prior written consent of the Local Planning Authority."

The applicant should pay reference to the LBI's Code of Practice for Construction Sites and the guidance contained within on noise, dust, air quality, Non Road Mobile Machinery register.

(See Conditions 5)

#### 8.18 Licencing:

I was asked to comment on the live music venue proposal, and the issue for me with a grassroots music venue is that I don't believe this could be sustained in the basement of a premises without either a larger capacity or a late licence (e.g. 3am).

If someone wanted to set up a venue under 250 capacity with a curfew of midnight, it would need to be multi-purpose, and be able to sustain another business at other times when it is not providing live music. My belief is that it would also need to be on the ground floor of the premises, to be able to provide food, have outside seating etc., and attract other customers.

Providing live music is a loss making cultural activity, all grassroots live music venues subsidise the live music offer through other activities, especially food or club nights. The average bar sales at a live music venue for live gigs is on average under  $\pounds 10$  per person across the whole evening, for all sales. The ticket money, when available, is retained by the promoter and used to fund the activities. There will be significant risk taking and no guarantee that the venue will be profitable.

A basement music venue in this location without a very late licence wouldn't be sustainable in the long term. For example: the 100 club is struggling to survive with a 2am licence on Oxford Street, Silver Bullet had a 4am licence, but only survived on money made from club nights.

A recent study by the Music Venue Trust showed that the UK is only major territory when grass roots music venues aren't funded by central Government. The other funding varies from 15% in some countries to over 50% in France.

8.19 **Planning Policy:** Taking account of the sites location in a town centre, opposite Finsbury Park tube and rail station, along with noise and vibration from the road and railway line, no objection is raised in principle to a hotel at this site.

No objection is raised to the loss of the former public house given the demolition and time which has elapsed since it was last in use. Nor is there an objection to the loss of existing food businesses or the hair dressing salon. The hair dressing salon business was relocated to another site nearby, at the Applicant's cost, and this helps mitigate any impact to the vitality and viability of the town centre.

It is noted that the site is identified in emerging site allocation policy as being suitable for a hotel, which reflects the fact the site is so affected by noise, vibration and airquality impacts that it is not suitable for residential accommodation.

8.20 **Spatial Planning and Transport (Transport Officer)** – The surrounding roads are controlled by TfL. No objection raised from a local highway authority perspective.

#### 8.21 Sustainability Officer -

BREEAM 'excellent' is being targeted which is welcomed. Green roof areas should be installed under and in between the solar panels in order to maximise green roof coverage.

(BREEAM standards are to be secured by Condition 16 and Green roof details in Condition 12)

Greywater recycling is proposed which is welcomed and is particularly suitable for a hotel development. Rainwater recycling for internal use should also be incorporated. Further details will have to be secured via condition, including tank location.

# (Rainwater harvesting requirements would be secured by condition 17, informatives are also recommended to advise the Applicant of requirements)

The incorporation of small areas of soft landscaping and/or installation of planters to the external areas is recommended to provide biodiversity and drainage benefits. In relation to the bird boxes, at least one swift brick should be installed above 5 metres.

(Condition 15 requires bird and bat boxes, and an informative is recommended to advise the Applicant of requirements)

8.22 Affordable Workspace Officer – In this case it was decided that a financial contribution will be sought instead of the delivery of the physical affordable

workspace on site. This decision was based on the size, location and nature of the affordable workspace. The decision was further influenced by knowledge gained through past experience where the Inclusive Economy Team had difficulties in attracting end-user workspace operators to similar premises.

The size of the space is on the smaller end of the spectrum. It will be hard to attract interest from potential operators to manage this site as a stand-alone workspace due to its size and location.

In the short term, there are no other affordable workspaces planned to be delivered in Finsbury Park. This means there is not a way that multiple small spaces could be linked i.e. there is no way we could put in place a multiple license operation.

The position of the space at basement level would provide limited natural light and no outlook. In addition, affordable workspaces are normally located below or within commercial office buildings, where entrepreneurs and SMEs using the space can benefit from opportunities to grow their operations, either by establishing connections with larger businesses located within the building and/or increased footfall from operating at ground floor level. In this case, that synergy wont exist, as the development above is a hotel.

Given the situation, an off-site contribution should be sought.

(A planning obligation is required to secure the contribution).

#### Other Consultees

- 8.23 **Finsbury Park Ward Councillors –** Raised concern that the proposed hotel would displace the potential for the site to be redeveloped for residential purposes (losing the opportunity to address the acute housing need).
- 8.24 **Members' Pre-application Forum -** The Proposals were presented to the Members' Pre-Application Forum on the 8<sup>th</sup> May 2017.
- 8.25 **Design Review Panel** Islington's Design Review Panel considered the proposed development at pre-application stage on 14 March 2017 (when the scheme was for a 10-storey 228-bedroom hotel). The Panel's pre application stage written comments (issued on 4 April 2017) are appended as Appendix 3 to this report for completeness and in summary these were as follows:
  - Concerns were raised in relation to the 10 storey height as the site is not seen as a corner site but as an extension of the street.
  - Concern about the impact of the height on the prominence of the tower at the Grade II\* listed building and, because of its close proximity to the student accommodation, the perceived 'wall' of development. Recommended that the building should be reduced by 2 storeys.
  - Welcome the proposed pavement widening but more information needed e.g. how would the 'plaza' function; what trees could actually be planted.
  - More information needed on proposed servicing of the building.

#### 9. RELEVANT POLICIES

9.1 Islington Council (Planning Committee), in determining the planning application has the following main statutory duties to perform:

- To have regard to the provisions of the development plan, so far as material to the application and to any other material considerations (Section 70 Town & Country Planning Act 1990);
- To determine the application in accordance with the development plan unless other material considerations indicate otherwise (Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004) (Note: that the relevant Development Plan is the London Plan and Islington's Local Plan, including adopted Supplementary Planning Guidance).
- As the development affects the setting of listed buildings, Islington Council (Planning Committee) is required to have special regard to the desirability of preserving the building or its setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which it possesses (S66 (1) Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990).
- 9.2 The National Planning Policy Framework 2018 seeks to secure positive growth in a way that effectively balances economic, environmental and social progress for this and future generations. It is worth noting that the NPPF was revised and adopted on 24 July 2018. The NPPF is a material consideration and has been taken into account as part of the assessment of these proposals.
- 9.3 Paragraph 7 of the NPPF states that the purpose of the planning system is to contribute to the achievement of sustainable development. Furthermore, paragraph 11 of the staffstates that plans and decisions should apply a presumption in favour of sustainable development, for decision-taking this means approving development proposals that accord with an up-to-date development plan without delay.
- 9.4 Since March 2014 Planning Practice Guidance for England has been published online.
- 9.5 In considering the planning application account has to be taken of the statutory and policy framework, the documentation accompanying the application, and views of both statutory and non-statutory consultees.
- 9.6 The Human Rights Act 1998 incorporates the key articles of the European Convention on Human Rights into domestic law. These include:
  - Article 1 of the First Protocol: Protection of property. Every natural or legal person is entitled to the peaceful enjoyment of his possessions. No one shall be deprived of his possessions except in the public interest and subject to the conditions provided for by law and by the general principles of international law.
  - Article 14: Prohibition of discrimination. The enjoyment of the rights and freedoms set forth in this Convention shall be secured without discrimination on any ground such as sex, race, colour, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, association with a national minority, property, birth, or other status.
- 9.7 Members of the Planning Committee must be aware of the rights contained in the Convention (particularly those set out above) when making any Planning decisions. However, most Convention rights are not absolute and set out circumstances when an interference with a person's rights is permitted. Any interference with any of the rights contained in the Convention must be sanctioned by law and be aimed at pursuing a legitimate aim and must go no further than is necessary and be proportionate.

9.8 The Equality Act 2010 provides protection from discrimination in respect of certain protected characteristics, namely: age, disability, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or beliefs and sex and sexual orientation. It places the Council under a legal duty to have due regard to the advancement of equality in the exercise of its powers including planning powers. The Committee must be mindful of this duty inter alia when determining all planning applications. In particular, the Committee must pay due regard to the need to: (1) eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conduct that is prohibited by or under the Act; (2) advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it; and (3) foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it.

#### **Development Plan**

9.9 The Development Plan is comprised of the London Plan (2016), Islington Core Strategy (2011), Development Management Policies (2013). The policies of the Development Plan are considered relevant to this application and are listed at Appendix 2 to this report.

#### **Designations**

- 9.10 The site has the following designations under the London Plan (2016), Islington Core Strategy (2011) and Development Management Policies (2013):
  - Finsbury Park Town Centre
  - Finsbury Park key area
  - Cycle routes
  - Controlled Parking Zone
  - Within setting of Grade II\* Listed building

#### Supplementary Planning Guidance (SPG) / Document (SPD)

9.11 The SPGs and/or SPDs which are considered relevant are listed in Appendix 2.

#### 10. ASSESSMENT

- 10.1 The main issues arising from the proposal are the following:
  - Land use
  - Design and Appearance
  - Public Realm and Landscaping
  - Accessibility
  - Neighbouring amenity
  - Energy conservation and sustainability

- Highways and transportation
- Air Quality and Noise
- Basement
- Planning obligations/mitigations

#### Land Use

Suitability of the site for permanent residential accommodation.

- 10.2 Concerns have been raised during the consultation that the opportunity for the site to deliver housing, including affordable housing was being lost. Because there is an acute shortage of land in the Borough to meet pressing housing need, careful consideration has been given to whether or not it would be appropriate to locate permanent residential accommodation at the site (as opposed to a hotel).
- 10.3 In this case the Council's Public Protection officer has raised strong objections that the location of the site, adjacent to a railway line, and busy road, means that future residential occupiers of the site will be subjected to disturbance caused by noise and vibration. The site is bounded by the East Coast Main line, Thameslink and other lines operating out of Finsbury Park to the east on elevated lines. The Piccadilly line runs close under the site also. To the north and east of the site is the Seven Sisters Road with the junction of Isledon Road/Fonthill Road directly opposite. The site is subject to high levels of vibration and noise, both airborne and groundborne noise. The Council's Environmental Pollution, Policy & Projects Team advise that the site is listed as an "Important Area" in the DEFRA noise maps i.e. the site is part of the 1% of areas which are affected by the highest road and rail noise levels.
- 10.4 To the north of Finsbury Park is a road rail access point and frequent noise from maintenance of the railway would also impact upon occupiers. Any proposed permanent residential on the site would need to be enclosed in sealed facades with mechanical ventilation with potential overheating issues. External areas would be subjected to noise impacts well in excess of World Health Organization guidelines and so balconies and external amenity spaces would be unfeasible. The Council's Environment and Public Protection team advise that they would strongly object to any proposed permanent residential development on the site.
- 10.5 Permitting residential at this location would also set a highly undesirable precedent, making it difficult to object to uses that generate significant levels of noise, where these are proposed next to existing residential properties.
- 10.6 From a policy perspective, it is important to note that Islington's Core Strategy Policy CS 12 states that the Council will identify areas where high levels of external noise and vibration may make residential development unacceptable. In this regard, the Council's adopted Finsbury Park Development Management SPD (2015) includes an assessment of noise and vibration, identifying the site as being within an area that is the most impacted by noise and vibration (caused by trains on the railway and vehicles travelling along Seven Sisters Road).
- 10.7 Development Management Policies (2013) Policy DM2.1 (Design) Part A (x) requires that new residential development should 'provide a good level of amenity including consideration of noise and the impact of disturbance'. The location of the site in relation to the railway and Seven Sisters Road make compliance with this policy extremely problematic. The Development Management Policies

(2013) policy DM3.7 relates to noise and vibration, seeks to ensure that residential developments are adequately separated from major sources of noise (and specifically makes reference to busy roads and railway lines). Policies DM 3.5 and DM3.6 require residential development to provide acceptable areas of external amenity spaces and play space for children. The environmental conditions (noise and air pollution) mean that compliant play or amenity space could not be accommodated. The use of the site for permanent residential accommodation does not accord with planning policy.

- 10.8 There is no safe level for air pollution exposure and testing of air quality in the area shows that it is of particularly poor quality. Assessed against the EU air quality objectives, the site exceeds the NO2 annual mean at every level facing onto Seven Sisters Road. Poor air quality in the vicinity of the site means external amenity spaces would not be feasible. The Council's Public Protection officer has raised concern that poor air quality in the vicinity of the site makes the site less than ideal for permanent residential use.
- 10.9 The use of the site as permanent residential accommodation is further restricted by the shape and size of the site, as well as the proximity to neighbouring developments. Adequate setbacks (to prevent overlooking and allow for acceptable outlook from flats) would limit the developable area of the site. The close proximity of neighbouring buildings to the site impacts upon the potential for windows to be introduced on the elevation facing the student accommodation (adjoining the southern boundary of the site). Positioning windows where they are not affected by the railway line or by road traffic, would also limit the layout of units. Single aspect units are not encouraged as they are not considered to be a good standard of accommodation.
- 10.10 The ground and first floor levels would be below the level of the railway viaduct, meaning residential development proposed at ground or first floor levels, along the eastern boundary of the site, would have little/no outlook, and would receive limited daylight. Combined with this is the fact that there would be no opportunity to provide external amenity spaces that would not be unacceptably affected by poor air quality, noise and vibration. There are a number of interconnected and overlapping issues, which are location specific, and make the site unsuitable as a location for permanent residential accommodation.

Acceptability of the proposed hotel use.

- 10.11 At the regional level, London Plan Policy 4.5 seeks to support the visitor economy as well as the needs of businesses and leisure visitors to the capital. This policy highlights a strategic demand for new visitor bedrooms in London and identifies appropriate locations for the provision of hotels, noting that outside the Central Activities Zone hotel development should be focused in town centres. This site is located within a highly accessible town centre and thus its location is acceptable in strategic planning terms.
- 10.12 Islington's Core Strategy (2011) policy CS2 relates to Finsbury Park stating that redevelopment of low density sites around the station is supported, including for employment floorspace. The definition of employment floorspace extends to cover hotels. Islington's Development Management Policies (2013) policy DM4.11 relates to hotel and visitor accommodation and sets out where hotels are acceptable in principle, namely designated Town Centres; and areas in close proximity to national railway hubs. The site meets both these criteria.

10.13 The policy goes on to set out further detailed criteria that need to be satisfied including a need to contribute to the balance and mix of uses in the immediate locality and to support the area's primary retail/business/cultural role and not compromise economic function/growth. To understand what this part of the policy seeks to achieve reference is made to the supporting text to the policy, which states the following:

"while hotels can create jobs and support the visitor economy, it is important to ensure that other planning objectives are met: in particular, ensuring that hotels do not limit the achievement of other priorities (including economic and housing growth), securing a balance of uses, protecting residential amenity, and addressing local impacts (particularly traffic impacts).

Proposals will be resisted where they would result in an over-concentration of hotels and similar uses (such as other visitor accommodation, hostels and student accommodation) in the surrounding area (generally within a 500m radius of the site)."

- 10.14 Because of the shortage of developable land in the Borough, and the potential for hotels to displace uses for which there is a greater priority, it is important to understand if, in the vicinity (a 500m radius) of the site, there is already an overconcentration of hotels (to the detriment of the area's primary retail/business/cultural role and potentially compromising economic function/growth).
- 10.15 The application is supported by an analysis of the current supply and pipeline supply of visitor accommodation within Islington. The study established that there are currently 1,254 rooms within the 500-metre radius of the proposed Hotel. Adding the proposed hotel would raise the number to 1,439.
- 10.16 This was compared in the Applicant's study to areas in the South of Islington, which show significantly higher concentrations of visitor accommodation (Finsbury Park is not considered to be overly saturated with visitor accommodation).
  - 500m radius of Angel Junction: 2,616 rooms,
  - 500m radius of Clerkenwell Junction: 2,890 rooms.
- 10.17 This approach, which compares density of hotel rooms around the site with other areas, is consistent with the way in which concentration has been tested in appeal situations. The proposed additional hotel rooms at the application site would not materially alter the concentration of hotels and similar uses (such as other visitor accommodation, hostels and student accommodation) within a 500-metre radius of the Site. Nor is the area surrounding the site currently over-concentrated with hotels and similar uses (such as other visitor accommodation and Airbnb listings), especially when compared to other areas within Islington. In this instance, the provision of the hotel at the site would not result in any harm to the areas economic function/growth.
- 10.18 The Council's Crime & Disorder Intelligence Analyst undertook an analysis of complaints within a 500m radius of the site. There were 1,132 complaints over the last 12 months. Officers were able to cross reference the location of 'problem causing' addresses with the address of hotels and similar accommodation within the catchment area. Of the 1,132 complaints within 500m of the site, there were 3 (relating to noise) which can be linked to hotels or similar accommodation. The

analysis does not indicate that the existing supply of hotels and similar accommodation in the vicinity of the site is resulting in a concentration of adverse impacts on residential amenity. Subject to conditions being imposed on any consent granted to ensure the hotel is appropriately managed, impacts to neighbouring occupiers would be adequately mitigated.

- 10.19 Development Management Policies (2013) Policy DM4.11 requires hotel proposals to contribute to the economic function of the area. In this regard there would be jobs created in the hotel as well as in the bar and restaurant. Hotel guests would also spend in the local area, and a planning obligation is required to ensure the development contributes towards the provision of affordable workspace in Finsbury Park. There is an existing retail use (Use Class A1) use on site (a hair dressing salon). That occupier has been relocated by the Applicant to another premises approximately 200m from the site. This helps to mitigate against any harm to the viability and vitality of the town centre. No objection is raised to the loss of the existing restaurant/cafe businesses on site, particularly given the proposed provision of a restaurant on site.
- 10.20 The site has excellent public transport connections, which is a locational requirement for hotels set out in of policy DM4.11 of the Development Management Policies (2013). The policy also requires hotel proposals to adequately address various other criteria, including highways, servicing, and inclusive access requirements. These matters are discussed in following sections of this report, and in summary, the application is acceptable in terms of highway impacts, servicing and inclusive access.

Loss of existing uses on the site.

10.21 The loss of existing restaurant/cafe businesses at the site is considered acceptable given the proposed restaurant / bar use at ground floor level of the development. An existing hair dressing salon (use Class A1) would be demolished as part of the proposal. The loss of this unit has been mitigated by the Applicant by funding and facilitating the relocation of that tenant to another vacant premises approximately 200m away. The Applicant covered relocation expenses and provided compensation for inconvenience and disruption. Given the situation, no objection is raised to the loss of existing units at the site.

Loss of historic uses that had existed on the site.

- 10.22 The site had formerly accommodated a public house, which also acted as a live music venue. The use of the public house and venue ceased over 14 years ago, and the building was demolished in 2015. Relevant case law (particularly Iddenden v Secretary of State for the Environment) confirms that in these circumstances the previous uses of a site are effectively extinguished.
- 10.23 However, the history of a site is a matter that can be a material planning consideration under Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004. The history of the site, includes the use of the former public house as a live music venue.
- 10.24 It is of note that the Development Plan includes policies which protect against the loss of cultural facilities, (such as live music venues), unless various requirements are met. The fact that cultural facilities are protected by policy is a material consideration in this case, and as such the loss of the care home has been taken into account.

- 10.25 The Council advised that a live music venue should be included as part of the proposed scheme, and the application proposed a live music venue at the basement level (in part to ensure noise and disturbance could be enclosed). The live music venue was to be offered to the Council at a peppercorn rent. However, following feedback from a number of the Council's officers, it was found that the proposed live music venue would not be workable.
- 10.26 The Council's Licensing officer advised that there is strong evidence to show that in the United Kingdom live music venues are generally financially unviable. Even at a pepper corn rent, additional subsidies would be required to cover furniture, fittings and ongoing running costs (including business rates etc). The Council's Finance officer has separately advised that there is not a budget to cover these costs. As such concern was raised as to the financial viability of the proposal.
- 10.27 The Council's Licensing officer has further advised that live music venues need to operate until late in the night (i.e. 3am) to be successful. In this case, the Council's Acoustics officer advised that such late operating hours would give rise to conflicts with the amenity of near-by residential occupiers, as well as hotel guests, due to noise and disturbance associated with the use of the venue late at night (e.g. associated with patrons of the live music venue leaving the premises). The Applicant has not agreed to the music venue being open until 3am, on the basis that there would be impacts to the amenity of hotel guests.
- 10.28 The Council's Licensing officer also advised that the proposed size of the venue (accommodating approximately 150 persons) would need to increase to accommodate a 500-person capacity in order to be successful. Taking account of the requirements set out in the Building Regulations, a venue of that capacity (along with plant rooms and ancillary space associated with the hotel) would not fit within a single basement level (necessitating the creation of an additional basement level). Given the additional costs involved in creating a 500 person live music venue, such an obligation is difficult to justify (in planning policy terms and also in terms of the tests for planning obligations).
- 10.29 In summary, there are a number of issues that prevent the successful provision of a live music venue on site, such that this option has been discounted.

#### Affordable workspace

Policy DM 5.4 of the Development Management Policies (2013) requires major 10.30 development proposals in town centres to include an appropriate amount (5% of proposed floor space) to be provided as affordable workspace. The Applicant revised the plans to omit the music venue and instead include affordable workspace in the basement (equating to 5% of the proposed floor area). The affordable workspace proposal was referred to the Council's Affordable Workspace officer, who raised various concerns, including that affordable workspace located in basements has proven to be unsuccessful in other parts of the Borough. Additionally, the location, in Finsbury Park, was less popular as a place for affordable workspace than locations closer to the central city. Evidence from actual affordable work space operations show that success is most likely where the rest of the building is in office use (facilitating potential business interactions between office space users and the affordable workspace occupiers). Affordable workspace provided as part of a hotel development would not generate the same synergies.

- 10.31 The advice from the Council's Affordable Workspace officer is that in this case, an offsite contribution should be taken, in lieu of on-site affordable workspace provision. The Council's Workspace Viability Report 2011, sets out how offsite affordable workspace calculations should be undertaken, and in this case a contribution of £946,356 is required. This would be secured by way of a legal agreement associated with any permission granted.
- 10.32 The Applicant provided advice to confirm they agree to meet in full the offsite contribution. The Applicant subsequently revised the proposal and now seeks permission for a further 10 hotel rooms (raising the total number of rooms to 192) to be located in the basement instead. While basement hotel rooms are not ideal (due to a lack of natural light and outlook), in this case the basement accommodation would represent 5% of the total rooms in the development. This is a modest number of rooms with limited/no outlook. It is also noted that 7 of the rooms would receive natural light (from light wells). The Council has accepted this sort of arrangement at other sites, where the number of basement rooms has been limited. Given the small number of rooms in the basement, and the temporary nature of the accommodation, no objection is raised.

#### Summary.

10.33 The proposal is considered to contribute appropriately to the mix and balance of uses in the locality. The scheme would support the area's retail and business role and would not lead to an overconcentration of visitor accommodation in the vicinity, and is acceptable in principle.

#### **Design & Appearance**

#### Policy Context

- 10.34 The National Planning Policy Framework (2018) states that the creation of high quality buildings and places is fundamental to what the planning and development process should achieve. Good design is a key aspect of sustainable development, creates better places in which to live and work and helps make development acceptable to communities.
- 10.35 Planning policies relevant to design and conservation are set out in chapter 7 of the London Plan (2016). Policies CS8, CS9 and CS10 in Islington's Core Strategy (2011), and policies in chapter 2 of Islington's Development Management Policies (2013), are also relevant. The council's Urban Design Guide SPD and the Mayor of London's Character and Context SPG are also relevant to the consideration of the current application.
- 10.36 London Plan Policy 7.4 states that development should have regard to the scale, mass and orientation of surrounding buildings, and that buildings should provide a high quality design response that has regard to the pattern and grain of the existing spaces and streets in orientation, scale, proportion and mass. London Plan Policy 7.6 states that buildings should be of a proportion, composition, scale and orientation that enhances, activates and appropriately defines the public realm, and should not cause unacceptable harm to the amenity of surrounding land and buildings. The Mayor of London's Character and Context SPG notes at paragraph 7.26 that "the key or essential characteristics of a place provide an important reference point against which change can be assessed".
- 10.37 At the local level, policy CS9 of Islington's Core Strategy (2011) sets out an aim for new buildings to be sympathetic in scale and appearance and to be

complementary to local identity. Policy CS2 of the Core Strategy (2011) focuses on Finsbury Park and states that high quality design encouraged.

10.38 Policy DM2.1 of Islington's Development Management Policies (2013) requires development to be based upon an understanding and evaluation of an area's defining characteristics, confirms that acceptable development will be required to respect and respond positively to existing buildings, and sets out a list of elements of a site and its surroundings that must be successfully addressed – this list includes urban form including building heights and massing.

#### Site Context

- 10.39 The recently-constructed neighbouring development to the south (known as 'Pure Highbury') incorporating student accommodation is 7 storeys in height. The railway viaduct adjacent to the site rises approximately 8m above street level. Buildings to the north of the site on Seven Sisters Road are 3 storeys in height with pitched roofs above. The former Rainbow Theatre's entrance frontage, which faces the site, stands slightly taller than the nearby 'Pure Highbury' development.
- 10.40 The site also forms part of the adopted Finsbury Park Development Framework, which includes the tall buildings of City North, which rise up to 21 storeys in height. A key built element of the area near to the site is the former Rainbow Theatre, which is located at the junction of Seven Sisters Road and Isledon Road. Now in use as a church, the 1930's building is Grade II\* listed.

#### Assessment

10.41 Within the urban context described above, the proposed 8-storey building is considered appropriate, although it would be impact upon the setting of the Grade II\* listed 'Rainbow Theatre' building. The site is on the prominent Isledon Road / Seven Sisters Road junction adjacent to buildings of a similar height and also forms part of the wider Finsbury Park area which includes significantly taller buildings, particularly those at City North.



#### Comparative building Heights

10.42 An earlier iteration of the scheme proposed a 10-storey building. However, there were objections as at 10 storeys, the height was considered excessive. There was concern at the impact of the proposal on the prominence of the tower to the Grade II\* former Rainbow Theatre. The Applicant was advised to reduce the height of the building by two storeys, and the scheme was revised accordingly.

10.43 In terms of elevations, the Design Review Panel (DRP) had questioned whether the elevations could be better articulated. The Panel observed that the brick panels appear to 'float' above the glazed ground floor and suggested the building would be more successful if it were grounded with brick piers. The base of the building was redesigned accordingly and is now grounded with brick piers. The elevation is now composed of two main parts, the glazed ground floor base (with brick piers) and the solid upper floors, with a curved leading edge as shown in the image below.



Seven Sisters Road elevation

10.44 The elevations proposed are composed of a horizontal brick frame, stone bands that wrap around the building and a composed rhythm to fenestration and openings, consistent with the surrounding context. The proposed grouping of windows is considered to highlight the horizontal nature of the design whilst also breaking down the massing of the building. At the same time, the verticality of the recessed elements in the window design offers a well-considered and elegant contrast to the horizontal character of the building. The visual appearance of window bays would also provide additional variation and relief along the façade whilst the overall appearance would be further enhanced by angled metal panels providing an interesting elevational composition.



View of final proposal along Seven Sisters Road

Impact on Heritage Assets

- 10.45 In considering whether to grant planning permission for development which affects a listed building or its setting, Section 66 of the Town and Country Planning Act states that the local planning authority shall have special regard to the desirability of preserving the setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which the heritage asset possesses.
- 10.46 The proposal is now one storey higher than the adjacent 7 storey modern student housing block and is considered to cause some harm to the setting of the GII\* listed former Rainbow Cinema. However, this harm is less than substantial and should be weighed against the public benefits, including regeneration of a derelict site, a wider footway and improved public realm, jobs during the construction period and the ongoing operation of the hotel and bar/restaurant spaces. Additionally, there would be a significant contribution towards provision of affordable workspace initiatives in the Borough.
- 10.47 Overall, the scale, massing, height and proposed architectural language is considered to work successfully and the architecture of the proposal is considered to make a positive contribution to the creation of a coherent streetscape. The application has responded successfully to the comments made by the DRP in terms of height, massing and overall design and is considered to be sufficiently sympathetic in scale and appearance to the local aesthetic and identity.
- 10.48 Samples of materials would be required by condition (3) in order to ensure that the development is built out to the highest quality. The proposal is considered to be in accordance with Policy 7.6 of the London Plan, Policy CS2, CS8 and CS9 of Islington's Core Strategy (2011) and the aims and objectives of Development Management policies (2013) Policies DM2.1 and DM2.3.

#### Public Realm and Landscaping

- 10.49 Islington's Development Management Policies (2013) Policies DM2.1 and DM8.4 encourage greater permeability by improving movement through areas and seeking an improved pedestrian environment. Core Strategy (2011) Policy CS2 states that permeability and legibility of the wider area will be improved through interventions linked to a public realm strategy and that improvements to the pedestrian environment along Seven Sisters Road will be sought. In this regard it is worth noting that the footway is to be widened, and the public realm would be enhanced. At present the footway to the front of the site varies in width from approximately 2m to 3m. This would be increased to approximately 4.5m along the Seven Sisters Road frontage and to over 8m on the Isledon Road frontage.
- 10.50 The proposal has been set back from the street to accommodate two trees near the entrance to the building. The DRP welcomed the proposed pavement widening and tree planting, which weigh positively in favour of the scheme in the planning balance.
- 10.51 The Ecological report submitted with the application accepts that the ecological value of the site is quite low but makes a number of recommendations which should be incorporated within the final design of the proposal. The recommendations include the installation of a green roof (*Condition 12*) and the provision of bird nesting and bat roosting opportunities (*Condition 15*).

#### **Accessibility**

- 10.52 The relevant policies are 7.2 of the London Plan and Islington's Development Management Policies (2013) Policy DM2.2, which seeks inclusive, accessible and flexibly designed accommodation throughout the borough. The London Plan Policy requires all new development in London to achieve the highest standards of accessible and inclusive design, by ensuring that developments: (i) can be used safely, easily and with dignity by all members of society; (ii) are welcoming and convenient with no disabling barriers, (iii) are flexible and responsive to peoples' needs and (iv) are realistic, offering more than one solution to future users.
- 10.53 Islington's Development Management Policies (2013) require all developments to demonstrate that they provide for ease of and versatility in use; that they deliver safe, legible and logical environments and produce places and spaces that are convenient and enjoyable to use for everyone. Any development needs to be assessed against this policy background to ensure that they are genuinely inclusive from the outset and remain so for the lifetime of the development. Crucially, Islington's Development Management Policies (2013) Core Strategy (2011) and London Plan Policies all require 10% of all new hotel rooms to be wheelchair accessible.
- 10.54 The proposal includes a total of 19 wheelchair accessible bedrooms which is the 10% required by policy. A contribution of £44,000 will be sought by way of section 106 agreement for the provision of wheelchair accessible parking bays and alternative modes of transport.
- 10.55 Entrance doors, approaches, corridor widths, lifts and bathrooms would meet national and local guidelines and permission would be suitably conditioned to ensure that the needs of those with mobility and visual impairments are suitably met. A number of additional inclusive design measures as detailed in the consultation section of the report will be needed. Details would be required by condition in the event that permission is granted (Conditions 9 and 10).

#### **Neighbouring Amenity**

- 10.56 All new developments are subject to an assessment of their impact on neighbouring amenity in terms of loss of daylight, sunlight, privacy and an increased sense of enclosure. A development's likely impact in terms of air quality, dust, safety, security, noise and disturbance is also assessed. In this regard, the proposal is subject to London Plan Policy 7.14 and 7.15 as well as Development Management Policies DM2.1 and DM6.1 which requires for all developments to be safe and inclusive and to maintain a good level of amenity, mitigating impacts such as noise and air quality. Moreover, London Plan Policy 7.6 requires for buildings in residential environments to pay particular attention to privacy, amenity and overshadowing.
- 10.57 <u>Daylight and Sunlight</u>: In general, for assessing the sunlight and daylight impact of new development on existing buildings, Building Research Establishment (BRE) criteria is adopted. In accordance with both local and national policies, consideration has to be given to the context of the site, the more efficient and effective use of valuable urban land and the degree of material impact on neighbours.

- 10.58 BRE Guidelines paragraph 1.1 states: "People expect good natural lighting in their homes and in a wide range of non-habitable buildings. Daylight makes an interior look more attractive and interesting as well as providing light to work or read by". Paragraph 1.6 states: "The advice given here is not mandatory and the guide should not be seen as an instrument of planning policy; its aim is to help rather than constrain the designer. Although it gives numerical guidelines, these should be interpreted flexibly since natural lighting is only one of many factors in site layout design...In special circumstances the developer or local planning authority may wish to use different target values. For example, in a historic city centre, or in an area with modern high rise buildings, a higher degree of obstruction may be unavoidable if new developments are to match the height and proportions of existing buildings".
- 10.59 <u>Daylight</u>: the BRE Guidelines stipulate that... "the diffuse daylighting of the existing building may be adversely affected if either:
  - the Vertical Sky Component [VSC] measured at the centre of an existing main window is less than 27%, and less than 0.8 times its former value;
  - the area of the working plane in a room which can receive direct skylight is reduced to less than 0.8 times its former value." (No Sky Line / Daylight Distribution).
- 10.60 The BRE Guidelines state (paragraph 2.1.4) that the maximum VSC value achievable is almost 40% for a completely unobstructed vertical wall. This is important to note particularly given the (in some cases) very high levels of existing VSC currently held by surrounding properties due to the fact the site (except for single storey commercial buildings) is effectively cleared.
- 10.61 At paragraph 2.2.7 of the BRE Guidelines it states: "If this VSC is greater than 27% then enough skylight should still be reaching the window of the existing building. Any reduction below this level should be kept to a minimum. If the VSC, with the development in place is both less than 27% and less than 0.8 times is former value, occupants of the existing building will notice the reduction in the amount of skylight. The area lit by the window is likely to appear more gloomy, and electric lighting will be needed more of the time."
- 10.62 At paragraph 2.2.8 the BRE Guidelines state: "Where room layouts are known, the impact on the Daylighting Distribution [DD] in the existing building can be found by plotting the 'no sky line' in each of the main rooms. For houses this would include living rooms, dining rooms and kitchens. Bedrooms should also be analysed although they are less important... The no sky line divides points on the working plane which can and cannot see the sky... Areas beyond the no sky line, since they receive no direct daylight, usually look dark and gloomy compared with the rest of the room, however bright it is outside".
- 10.63 Paragraph 2.2.11 states: "Existing windows with balconies above them typically receive less daylight. Because the balcony cuts out light from the top part of the sky, even a modest obstruction may result in a large relative impact on the VSC, and on the area receiving direct skylight." The paragraph goes on to recommend the testing of VSC with and without the balconies in place to test if it the development or the balcony itself causing the most significant impact.
- 10.64 The BRE Guidelines at its Appendix F gives provisions to set alternative target values for access to skylight and sunlight. It sets out that the numerical targets

widely given are purely advisory and different targets may be used based on the special requirements of the proposed development or its location. An example given is "in a mews development within a historic city centre where a typical obstruction angle from ground floor window level might be close to 40 degree. This would correspond to a VSC of 18% which could be used as a target value for development in that street if new development is to match the existing layout"

#### 10.65 Paragraph 1.3.45-46 of the Mayor of London's Housing SPD states that:

"Policy 7.6B(d) requires new development to avoid causing 'unacceptable harm' to the amenity of surrounding land and buildings, particularly in relation to privacy and overshadowing and where tall buildings are proposed. An appropriate degree of flexibility needs to be applied when using BRE guidelines to assess the daylight and sunlight impacts of new development on surrounding properties, as well as within new developments themselves. Guidelines should be applied sensitively to higher density development, especially in opportunity areas, town centres, large sites and accessible locations, where BRE advice suggests considering the use of alternative targets. This should take into account local circumstances; the need to optimise housing capacity; and scope for the character and form of an area to change over time.

The degree of harm on adjacent properties and the daylight targets within a proposed scheme should be assessed drawing on broadly comparable residential typologies within the area and of a similar nature across London. Decision makers should recognise that fully optimising housing potential on large sites may necessitate standards which depart from those presently experienced but which still achieve satisfactory levels of residential amenity and avoid unacceptable harm."

#### 10.66 <u>Sunlight:</u> The BRE Guidelines (2011) state in the following relation to sunlight:

"If a living room of an existing dwelling has a main window facing within 90 degrees of due south, and any part of a new development subtends an angle of more than 25 degrees to the horizontal measured from the centre of the window in a vertical section perpendicular to the window, then the sunlighting of the existing dwelling may be adversely affected. This will be the case if the centre of the window:

- Receives less than 25% of Annual Probable Sunlight Hours [APSH], or less than 5% of annual probable sunlight hours between 21 September and 21 March and
- Receives less than 0.8 times its former sunlight hours during either period and
- Has a reduction in sunlight received over the whole year greater than 4% of annual probable sunlight hours."
- 10.67 The BRE Guidelines) state at paragraph 3.16 in relation to orientation: "A southfacing window will, receive most sunlight, while a north-facing one will only receive it on a handful of occasions (early morning and late evening in summer). East and west-facing windows will receive sunlight only at certain times of the day. A dwelling with no main window wall within 90 degrees of due south is likely to be perceived as insufficiently sunlit."

- 10.68 It goes on to state (paragraph 3.2.3): "...it is suggested that all main living rooms of dwellings, and conservatories, should be checked if they have a window facing within 90 degrees of due south. Kitchens and bedrooms are less important, although care should be taken not to block too much sun."
- 10.69 <u>Open spaces</u>: The Guidelines state that it is good practice to check the sunlighting of open spaces where it will be required and would normally include: 'gardens to existing buildings (usually the back garden of a house), parks and playing fields and children's playgrounds, outdoor swimming pools and paddling pools, sitting out areas such as those between non-domestic buildings and in public squares, focal points for views such as a group of monuments or fountains'.
- 10.70 At paragraph 3.3.17 it states: "It is recommended that for it to appear adequately sunlit throughout the year, at least half of a garden or amenity area should receive at least two hours of sunlight on 21 March. If as a result of new development an existing garden or amenity area does not meet the above, and the area which can receive two hours of sun on 21 March is less than 0.8 times its former value, then the loss of sunlight is likely to be noticeable. If a detailed calculation cannot be carried out, it is recommended that the centre of the area should receive at least two hours of sunlight on 21 March."

#### Assessment

10.71 The properties identified in the table below have been tested with respect of daylight and sunlight impacts, and will still meet all of the BRE standards for daylight and sunlight in the event the development is built.

Properties which PASS the BRE sunlight and daylight tests							
Seven Sisters Road	Fonthill Road						
219 Seven Sisters Road	150 Fonthill Road						
217 Seven Sisters Road	152 Fonthill Road						
215 Seven Sisters Road	154 Fonthill Road						
213 Seven Sisters Road	156 Fonthill Road						
211 Seven Sisters Road	158 Fonthill Road						
209 Seven Sisters Road	160 Fonthill Road						
207 Seven Sisters Road	162 Fonthill Road						
205 Seven Sisters Road	164 Fonthill Road						
203 Seven Sisters Road							

- 10.72 The VSC and NSL has been assessed for all existing residential properties near the site. To establish if properties were in residential use, officers and the Applicant undertook visits to the properties and checked planning and building control history. Discussions were held with local Real Estate Agents and Council tax records were also examined. The checks show that the terrace of premises from 221 to 233 Seven Sisters Road is largely in commercial use (shops and the like at ground floor level and offices above).
- 10.73 However, there are flats above the ground floor uses of 221 and 231 Seven Sisters Road, and as such sunlight and daylight testing was undertaken for these properties.
- 10.74 The Table below highlights where there would be transgressions in relation to the BRE standards:

					Vertical Sky Component			No Sky Line (Daylight Distribution)			
	Room/Window		-	Existing (%)	Proposed (%)	Percentage in VSC	Whole room	Previous m <sup>2</sup>	Proposed m <sup>2</sup>	Percentage reduction in Daylight Distribution	
Flat No.	Floor	Room Ref	Window Ref	Room Use		6)	reduction	l m²		⊼v 	reduction Distribution
231 Sev	en Sister	s Road									
Road	First	R1	W1	Living Room	34.32	24.56	28	8.63	8.37	6.37	24
		R2	W2	Living Room	35	24.36	30	8.63	8.41	7.01	17
	Second	R1	W1	Bedroom	37	28.09	24	8.69	11.83	8.38	29
		R2	W2	Bedroom	36.82	27.09	26	8.7	11.86	9.22	22
		R1	W1	Assumed	37.96	30.33	20	28.69	26.79	25.63	4
	Third		W2		30.9	30.9	0				
			W2		3.35	3.35	0				
	en Sister										
221	even	R1	W1	Assumed	31.93	22.74	29	9.96	9.58	8.09	16
Seven		R2	W2	Assumed	31.87	23.15	27	7.5	7.35	5.74	22
Sisters	Second	R1	W1	Assumed	34.14	25.49	25	9.97	13.49	10.6	21
Road		R2	W2	Assumed	34.06	25.9	24	7.51	10.07	7.19	29

- 10.75 The living room windows at 221 and 231 Seven Sisters Road would see reductions in VSC of up to 30% and DD of up to 29% which is considered to be a lesser/minor infringement, particularly given the built up urban setting in which the site is set.
- 10.76 These rooms currently benefit from a significant amount of uninterrupted sky visibility due to the area of open space at the application site, which is either unbuilt on or accommodates single storey buildings (which is relatively untypical in an urban setting).
- 10.77 There is a student living block located at 189-219 Isledon Road (known as Pure Highbury) which adjoins the application site to the South. There are windows in the northern elevation of the student living building that would be affected by the development.
- 10.78 It should be noted that the temporary nature of occupation in student accommodation means that adherence of the BRE standards is not strictly required (it applies to dwellings). The design of the student living building features single aspect, north facing bedroom windows in close proximity to the application site boundary. Unless the application site remains undeveloped, there is bound to be an impact on the windows in the northern elevation of the student living building.
- 10.79 While the accommodation is not permanent (not dwellings), for clarity and transparency officers have required the windows in the student living building be

# tested to understand the impacts. The windows and rooms that fail the BRE targets in terms of VSC and DD are shown in the table below:

						rtical Sk mponer			iky Line Distribu		Jht
Flat No.	Floor	Room Ref.	Window Ref.	Room Use	Existing (%)	Proposed (%)	Percentage reduction in VSC	Whole room m <sup>2</sup>	Previous m <sup>2</sup>	Proposed m <sup>2</sup>	Percentage reduction in Daylight
189-2	219 Isledo	n Road	-	-	-				-		
		R1	W1 W2 W3 W4	Bedroom	34.2 34.27 33.36 31.6	33.21 31.69 25.91 17.26	3 8 <b>22</b> 45	12.26	12.26	11.96	2
8		R2	W5	Bedroom	25.19	13.39	47	8.96	7.59	6.96	8
189-219 Isledon Road		R3	W6	Bedroom	22.67	13.04	42	8.32	7.79	6.8	13
1919		R4	W7	Bedroom	19.66	11.82	40	7.31	7.13	6.68	6
<u>s</u>	First	R5	W8	Bedroom	17.47	5.77	67	9.64	8.69	1.82	79
ed	First	R6	W9	Bedroom	21.41	7	67	8.75	7.72	1.6	79
n		R7	W10	Bedroom	25.66	8.27	68	8.92	7.97	1.53	81
R		R8	W11	Bedroom	28.73	9.57	67	9.45	8.43	1.46	83
ad		R9	W12	Bedroom	31.52	11.85	62	9.45	8.97	3.47	61
		R10	W13	Bedroom	32.61	14	57	9.45	8.97	3.49	61
		R11	W14 W15	KD	33.55 34.46	18.12 23.51	46 32	28.69	28.65	28.55	0
			W16		38.02	38	0				
		R1	W1	Bedroom	35.72	34.76	3	12.26 1		11.96	2
					35.72	33.11	7		12.26		
			W3		35.02	27.01	23				
		R2	W4	Bedroom	27.22	15.35	44	8.96	7.7	7.13	7
189-2		R3	W5	Bedroom	24.23	14.79	39	8.32	7.86	6.93	12
Ň		R4	W6	Bedroom	20.61	13.09	36	7.31	7.14	6.73	6
19		R5	W7	Bedroom	18.91	7.17	62	9.64	9.36	2.18	77
9 Isledon Road	Second	R6	W8	Bedroom	23.13	8.88	62	8.75	8.56	1.99	77
ď		R7	W9	Bedroom	27.57	10.45	62	8.92	8.66	1.92	78
n n		R8	W10	Bedroom	30.68	11.86	61	9.45	8.94	1.84	79
ုဂ္စ		R9 R10	W11 W12	Bedroom	33.3	14.09	58 53	9.45	9.27	3.74	60 58
ă		RIU		Bedroom	34.27	16.11		9.45	9.29	3.89	00
			W13         34.93         19.99         43           W14         VD         35.67         25.02         30         20.00         20.00								
			37.68	2	28.69	28.69	28.68	0			
			W16		38.73	38.71	0				
		R2	W10	Bedroom	29.71	18.28	38	8.96	8.02	7.56	6
189		R3	W5	Bedroom	26.24	17.43	34	8.32	8.07	7.31	9
N.		R4	W6	Bedroom	21.7	14.86	32	7.31	7.14	6.77	5
19		R5	W7	Bedroom	20.33	9.21	55	9.64	9.36	2.75	71
Isle	Third	R6	W8	Bedroom	25.07	11.8	53	8.75	8.59	2.62	69
dc		R7	W9	Bedroom	29.7	13.82	53	8.92	8.72	2.59	70
ň		R8	W10	Bedroom	32.7	15.3	53	9.45	9.02	2.52	72
189-219 Isledon Road		R9	W11	Bedroom	34.99	17.29	51	9.45	9.28	4.11	56
bĩ		R10	W12	Bedroom	35.74	19.04	47	9.45	9.32	4.4	53

					Vertical Sky Component Distribution)				jht							
Flat No.	Floor	Room Ref.	Window Ref.	Room Use	Existing (%)	Proposed (%)	Percentage reduction in VSC	Whole room m <sup>2</sup>	Previous m <sup>2</sup>	Proposed m <sup>2</sup>	reduction in Davlight					
			W13		36.19	22.47	38									
		R11	W14	KD	36.73	26.93	27	27 28.69	28.67	28.53	0					
			W15	KD	38.95	38.38	1	20.03	20.07	20.00						
			W16		39.28	39.26	0									
	Fourth		R2	W4	Bedroom	32.86	22.76	31	8.96	8.69	8.53	2				
		R3	W5	Bedroom	29.21	21.72	26	8.32	8.16	7.83	4					
		R4	W6	Bedroom	23.22	17.57	24	7.31	7.14	6.87	4					
39-		R5	W7	Bedroom	21.83	12.24	44	9.64	9.36	3.98	57					
21		R6	W8	Bedroom	27.67	16.52	40	8.75	8.62	3.9	55					
16		R7	W9	Bedroom	32.21	19	41	8.92	8.8	3.98	55					
sle		R8	W10	Bedroom	34.63	20.29	41	9.45	9.26	4.09	56					
do		R9	W11	Bedroom	36.26	21.68	40	9.45	9.28	4.76	49					
с П		Fourth	R10	W12	Bedroom	36.76	22.96	38	9.45	9.33	5.08	46				
loa								W13		37.11	25.72	31				
đ					R11	W14	KD	37.45	29.33	22	28.69	28.67	28.67	0		
			W15		39.17	38.74	1	20.09	20.07	20.07	0					
			W16		39.41	39.4	0									
1		R2	W4	Bedroom	36.7	29.21	20	8.96	8.81	8.79	0					
89-		R3	W5	Bedroom	34.38	29.13	15	8.32	8.2	8.17	0					
189-219 Isledon Road		R4	W6	Bedroom	27.17	23.31	14	7.31	7.14	7.09	1					
		R5	W7	Bedroom	24.37	17.64	28	9.64	9.36	6.95	26					
sle	Fifth	R6	W8	Bedroom	32.34	24.52	24	8.75	8.63	6.67	23					
do		R7	W9	Bedroom	35.37	26.15	26	8.92	8.84	6.73	24					
		R8	W10	Bedroom	36.56	26.56	27	9.45	9.3	6.73	28					
loa		R9	W11	Bedroom	37.25	27.27	27	9.45	9.28	6.06	35					
đ		R10	W12	Bedroom	37.46	28.11	25	9.45	9.33	6.38	32					

- 10.80 Beginning with Room R1 on the 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> floor levels, it is noted that some windows would see reductions in VSC of more than 20%. A check of the room layout shows these are open plan corner rooms on a curved façade, with only part of the room facing the application site. These rooms benefit from 3 or 4 windows and the extent of glazing allows so much light into the room that DD in the rooms is almost unaffected (with only a 2% reduction).
- 10.81 The other student bedrooms rooms shown in the table would see more significant reductions in VSC and DD, albeit to a lesser degree on upper levels. For example, on the sixth floor, the reductions would be less than 30%, and this level of reduction would be considered a minor infringement, in view of the urban context in which the site is set. In many cases the retained levels of VSC are very high.
- 10.82 Not surprisingly, the impacts are greatest (e.g. 68% reductions in VSC) on the lower levels where the windows are to single aspect, north facing bedrooms. These rooms currently benefit from a significant amount of uninterrupted sky visibility due to the area of open space at the application site. Given the scarcity

of land in the Borough, it isn't reasonable to prevent development at the application site on the basis of protection of non-permanent residential accommodation.

10.83 Consideration has been given to reducing the height of the hotel to avoid impacting upon the student accommodation, however impacts from development on daylight should not stand in isolation from other planning policy considerations, but should be weighed with other planning objectives. The BRE compliant redevelopment of the site would involve a very low scale building, and would not be supported in design terms and could not be said to make the best use of what is a highly accessible site.

#### <u>Sunlight</u>

10.84 With the exception of the student living building (where there would be 2 windows which fail the BRE sunlight tests APSH), the testing shows that the impacts to neighbouring residential properties is BRE compliant. The impacts to the 2 rooms where there would be infringements are shown in the table below.

Floor 189-219	Window Ref. Isledon Ro	Winter %	sting Annual %	Propo Winter %		Winter Times Former Value	Annual Times Former Value
First	W5	0	16	0	11	0.00	0.68
Second	W4	0	20	0	15	0.00	0.75

- 10.85 The windows in question face almost directly east, and are located in a 'side return', at the lower level, where the railway viaduct would act to block sunlight. In the existing situation, the windows do not receive direct sunlight in winter for an hour. Looking at the situation across the year (APSH) The proposal would worsen the situation, for example, annual APSH being reduced by more than 20% (32% reduction to W5 and 25% reduction to W4).
- 10.86 In view of the limited number of rooms affected, the limitations associated with the existing orientation of the impacted windows and the temporary nature of student accommodation, no objection is raised. Moreover, no gardens or amenity spaces would be noticeably affected by the proposal.

#### Sunlight/daylight summary

10.87 The development would not cause any unacceptable impact to permanent residential occupiers near the site. There would be impacts to the sunlight and

daylight received to bedrooms in the northern and north-eastern most elevation of the student accommodation (Pure Highbury) to the south of the site.

- 10.88 These impacts need to be considered in light of the fact that the BRE standards relate to dwellings, not temporary student accommodation. Additionally, the design of the student accommodation with single aspect, north facing bedrooms means that almost any development on the application site will cause an impact.
- 10.89 The site is largely unbuilt upon and leaving it undeveloped, or limiting the scale of development to such a low level that there would not be impacts to light in the student accommodation, would not be supported in design terms and could not be said to make the best use of what is a highly accessible site. The development would bring forth benefits, including regeneration of a derelict site, public realm improvements, jobs and a significant contribution towards affordable workspace initiatives in Finsbury Park. Taken together, no objection is raised to the proposals in terms of sunlight and daylight impacts.

#### Overlooking / Privacy:

- 10.90 Islington's Development Management Policies (2013) Policy DM2.1 identifies that 'to protect privacy for residential developments and existing residential properties, there should be a minimum distance of 18 metres between windows of habitable rooms. This does not apply across the public highway, overlooking across a public highway does not constitute an unacceptable loss of privacy'. The only neighbouring occupiers adjoining the site are along the southern boundary of the site (within the student accommodation block, known as Pure Highbury (189-219 Isledon Road)).
- 10.91 The proposed development has been designed so as to minimise overlooking of this property. There are 6 windows in the proposed hotel on each of floors 2 through to 6 which face towards the student accommodation building. Examination of the relative position of windows shows that one window at each level of the hotel faces to a windowless elevation in the student accommodation building, and as such no overlooking would occur from these windows. A further 2 windows at each level of the hotel are positioned at such an acute angle to the student accommodation building that overlooking of the student accommodation is restricted to glimpsed views, and at a distance of between 17m to 18m. Officers do not consider that there would be any undue loss of privacy from these windows.
- 10.92 However, there are three further windows on each of floors 2 through to 6 which would have views towards the windows of bedrooms in student accommodation building (at a distance of between 10m to 15m). While the viewing angle is quite oblique, there is potential for additional overlooking and a resulting infringement in privacy.
- 10.93 In order to suitably protect privacy, it is considered that any permission should be subject to a condition requiring further details of privacy measures (such as fixed louvres, fins or screens) to be provided (Condition 8) on the 3 hotel rooms at each level. Specifically, privacy measures are required to be fitted to the windows looking out of the following hotel rooms:
  - Level 2: rooms 202, 204 and 206
  - Level 3: rooms 302, 304 and 306
  - Level 4: rooms 402, 404 and 406

- Level 5: rooms 502, 504 and 506
- Level 6: rooms 602, 604 and 606

#### Safety / Security

10.94 The proposed use as a hotel would not create any significant negative impact on the amenity of existing residential properties in terms of security or antisocial behaviour. However, the Metropolitan Police have advised that to ensure the hotel itself is adequately secure from crime, details of security measures, including lighting and CCTV, should be required by condition if permission is granted (see Condition 19 and 26), and a Hotel Management Plan is to be secured by Condition (30).

#### Noise / Disturbance

10.95 The proposal has the potential to create noise and disturbance impacts to the neighbouring residential occupiers. The applicant has submitted a Noise Impact Assessment in relation to noise breakout from the restaurant to the neighbouring residential properties (and to the hotel rooms above). This has been examined by the council's Noise Officer who has recommended a number of conditions (18, 20 and 24) to ensure noise does not cause issues. A condition (7) is also recommended controlling the opening hours of the bar/restaurant (limiting the hours of operation to between 06.30am to 00.30am).

#### Energy conservation and sustainability

#### Policy context

- 10.96 The London Plan (2016) Policy 5.1 stipulates a London-wide reduction of carbon emissions of 60 per cent by 2025. Policy 5.2 of the plan requires all development proposals to contribute towards climate change mitigation by minimising carbon dioxide emissions through energy efficient design, the use of less energy and the incorporation of renewable energy. Policy 5.5 sets strategic targets for new developments to connect to localised and decentralised energy systems while Policy 5.6 requires developments to evaluate the feasibility of Combined Heat and Power (CHP) systems.
- 10.97 Policy CS10 if the Core Strategy (2011) requires all development to demonstrate that it has minimised onsite carbon dioxide emissions by maximising energy efficiency, supplying energy efficiently and using onsite renewable energy generation. The London Plan sets out a CO2 reduction target, for regulated emissions only, of 35% against Building Regulations 2013.
- 10.98 In accordance with Islington Planning Policy, developments should achieve a total (regulated and unregulated) CO2 emissions reduction of at least 27% relative to total emissions from a building which complies with Building Regulations 2013 (39% where connection to a Decentralised Heating Network in possible). Policy CS10 if the Core Strategy (2011) requires that all remaining CO2 emissions be offset through a financial contribution towards measures which reduce CO2 emissions from the existing building stock.
- 10.99 The Core Strategy also requires developments to address a number of other sustainability criteria such as climate change adaptation, SUDS, sustainable transport, sustainable construction and the enhancement of biodiversity. Development Management Policies (2013) Policy DM7.1 requires for

development proposals to integrate best practice sustainable design standards and states that the council will support the development of renewable energy technologies, subject to meeting wider policy requirements. Details and specifics are provided within Islington's Environmental Design SPD, which is underpinned by the Mayor's Sustainable Design and Construction Statement SPG. Major developments are also required to comply with Islington's Code of Practice for Construction Sites and to achieve relevant water efficiency targets as set out in the BREEAM standards.

#### Carbon Emissions

10.100 A low carbon approach for the design of the building's fabric and associated engineering system has been used to minimise energy use. These measures result in a reduction in site-wide CO2 emissions of 27% (total emissions i.e. regulated and unregulated) when measured against Part L2A 2013 Building Regulations. The Council's energy services team have accepted that this is the maximum achievable, and are satisfied with the assumptions and recommendations made. This corresponds to 357 tonnes of CO2 per year. This equates to a Carbon Offset contribution £328,440 (357 tonnes/year outstanding total emissions x £920), which is to be secured by way of a planning obligations as part of any consent.

#### Energy Reduction (Be Lean)

10.101 The proposed U-values for the development are as follows: external walls = 0.15, roof = 0.15, floors = 0.15, doors = 1 and windows = 0.9. These are better than the values recommended in the Environmental Design SPD. Mechanical ventilation with heat recovery is specified for the hotel rooms, with front and back areas ventilated using commercial supply and extract ventilation. Energy demand will be curbed by incorporating measures including high levels of thermal insulation, detailing to reduce air permeability and thermal bridging, and low-energy lighting. The development also seeks to use high levels of insulation in order to achieve low U Values.

#### Low Carbon Energy Supply

- 10.102 London Plan Policy 5.6B states that Major development proposals should select energy systems in accordance with the following hierarchy:
  - Connection to existing heating or cooling networks;
  - Site wide CHP network
  - Communal heating and cooling
- 10.103 A study of the nearest district heating network identified the nearest convenient connection is some 670m from the application site. This makes connection at present unworkable. Notwithstanding this, suitable wording would be included in the application's section 106 agreement to ensure potential future connection in the event that a DEN is established in the future.
- 10.104 The submitted Energy Statement recommends a Combined Heating and Cooling (CHP) as the preferred method of energy production in this instance. The use of CHP provides design development with many benefits, including electrical generation alongside heating and hot water requirements. As part of the proposals, a total CHP system with 83.7% efficiency, a heat to power ratio of 1.5:1 has been chosen to provide 100% of domestic hot water demand.

#### **Renewables**

- 10.105 Major developments should make a further reduction in their carbon dioxide emissions through the incorporation of renewable energy technologies to minimise overall carbon dioxide emissions, where feasible. The Council's Environmental Design SPD (page 12) states "use of renewable energy should be maximised to enable achievement of relevant CO2 reduction targets.
- 10.106 The calculated energy production form the PV panels operation is 4.96 kWh/m2. This corresponds to a CO2 saving of 2.6 KgCO2/m2. The PV panels on the roof would be combined with a green roof (to be secured by Condition 11) to provide the added benefits of reducing flood risk, improving ecology and biodiversity, reducing urban heat island effect, improving air quality etc.

#### Sustainable Design Standards

- 10.107 The Council's Environmental Design Guide states "Schemes are required to demonstrate that they will achieve the required level of the BREEAM via a preassessment as part of any application and subsequently via certification.
- 10.108 The development has been assessed against BREEAM and the submission demonstrates that the development would achieve a score of at least 72.3% which exceeds the threshold of 70% required to achieve a rating of 'Excellent'. A condition (16) should be imposed on any consent to ensure this standard is achieved.

#### Sustainable Urban Drainage System

- 10.109 The application site is Flood Risk Zone 1 and therefore has a low probability of flooding from tidal or fluvial sources. The existing water run-off rate is estimated to be 14.54 l/s. the application proposes to reduce surface water run-off rates to 5 l/s.
- 10.110 This target would be achieved through water attenuation tanks and green roofs. The drainage and SUDS strategy including green roofs will be secured by condition (12 and 13) and the responsibility of maintenance placed on the applicant.

#### Green Performance Plan

- 10.111 A draft Green Performance Plan has been submitted and is an acceptable draft. A final version would still need to be secured by way of a planning obligation (which is recommended).
- 10.112 In summary, the energy and sustainability measures proposed are in accordance with policy and would ensure a sustainable and green development that would minimise carbon emissions in the future.

#### Highways and transportation

#### Policy context

10.113 At national level, chapter 9 of the NPPF (2018) requires that in the assessment of applications for new development appropriate opportunities are taken to

promote sustainable transport modes and that safe and suitable access to the site can be achieved for all users; and that any significant impacts from the development on the transport network can be effectively mitigated to an acceptable degree.

- 10.114 The London Plan (2016) promotes development that will not adversely affect safety on the transport network, setting out the following requirements:
  - Policy 6.9 seeks secure cycle parking in line with the standards set out in Table 6.3 of the London Plan;
  - Policy 6.10 seeks high quality pedestrian environments; and
  - Policy 6.13 states the maximum standards for car parking should be achieved as set out in Table 6.2 of the London Plan, and that one in five spaces should provide an electrical charging point.
- 10.115 Islington's Core Strategy (2011) policy CS10 encourages sustainable transport choices through new development by maximising opportunities for walking, cycling and public transport use, and requiring that all new developments are carfree. Key proposals to increase cycling and improve safety are set out in the Islington Cycling Action Plan. Islington Core Strategy (2011) Policy CS2 (Finsbury Park) states that joint work with Transport for London will be undertaken to improve the pedestrian environment along Seven Sisters Road. These interventions will focus on creating an environment which increases people's sense of personal safety.
- 10.116 At local level, policy DM8.1 within the Development Management Policies (2013) defines Islington's movement hierarchy and requires the design of developments to prioritise the transport needs of pedestrians, public transport uses and cyclists above those of private motor vehicles. Development proposals are required to meet the transport needs of the development and address its transport impacts in a sustainable manner and in accordance with best practice. Where the council considers that a development is likely to have a significant negative impact on the operation of transport infrastructure, this impact must be satisfactorily mitigated in accordance with Policy DM8.2.

#### Existing conditions

- 10.117 The application site has an excellent level of public transport accessibility (PTAL 6b) given its close proximity to Finsbury Park Railway station. The site also has major and strategic cycle routes in close proximity as well as pedestrian routes providing access to a number of bus routes from Seven Sisters Road and Finsbury Park in all directions. There is a loading bay immediately in front of the site on Seven Sisters Road.
- 10.118 The existing loading bay in front of the site is 23 metres long and allows for loading / unloading and parking for blue badge holders. The existing restrictions at the bay are:
  - Loading for max 20 minutes between 10am and 4pm;
  - Parking for blue badge holders for max 3 hours between 10am and 4pm;



## Existing Loading Bay

- 10.119 There is pay and display on-street parking available to the north of the site on Fonthill Road, which includes disabled parking bays. The site is located on the junction with Seven Sisters Road and Isledon Road. Isledon Road is one-way and Seven Sisters Road two-way; both are bus routes for a number of services. Both roads are part of the TfL Red Route. Seven Sisters Road connects with the A10 to the east which links with the M11 to the north and London Bridge to the south.
- 10.120 In terms of pedestrian / disabled access, two signalised pedestrian crossings are available on Seven Sisters Road, with dropped kerbs, tactile paving and tactile buttons, this provides a level and safe crossing point, which leads to both disabled access points to Finsbury Park Station. These can be found on either site of the Railway Bridge.

#### Trip generation, parking and cycle parking

- 10.121 The applicant's Transport Assessment details the transportation and highways implications of the proposed development.
- 10.122 The applicant's consultant has assessed the trip generation for the hotel and restaurant floorspace and has concluded due to the excellent public transport accessibility, the close proximity to Finsbury Park station and the car-free nature of the development, the vast majority of trips to the site would be by public transport or on foot. TfL reviewed the assessment and advised it was acceptable.
- 10.123 The proposed development would be car-free in accordance with Core Strategy (2011) policy CS10 and Development Management Policies (2013) Policy DM8.5. A contribution towards accessible parking bays and alternative modes of transport is required to be secured through the section 106 agreement.
- 10.124 Cycle parking spaces will be provided primarily to the rear of the site and will be accessed from Seven Sisters Road through a cycle passage on the eastern side of the scheme. Cycle stands are also proposed on the widened footway on Seven Sisters Road. The number of long and short stay cycle parking spaces provided on site accords with the London Plan Cycle Parking Standards. Both TfL and the Council's Inclusive Design officer have met extensively to discuss and agree the best location for cycle parking. The final design and siting will need to be a matter to be approved as part of the requirement in a s278 legal agreement.

#### Delivery, Servicing and Construction Traffic

- 10.125 The application proposes to use the existing loading bay in front of the subject site for servicing. The submission includes a survey of the loading bay's existing use, which shows the loading bay to be empty 77% of the time between 7am and 7pm, not used at all between 1pm and 3pm on the day of the survey and only for 10 minutes between 3pm and 4pm. Given that the bay is some 23 metres long with space for 4 vehicles, this should provide sufficient scope for servicing and delivery to be carried out from this bay.
- 10.126 The applicants have modelled the servicing and delivery requirements for the proposed uses and estimate that there would be 4 daily servicing trips lasting on average 20 minutes. Given the existing use and capacity of the loading bay, it is considered that there would be sufficient capacity to accommodate on-street servicing of the proposed use. The Delivery and Servicing Plan (DSP) submitted in support of the application is useful in understanding that servicing of the site could be undertaken in ways which would not cause issues. It is of note that neither the Council's Highway officer nor TfL have raised any objection to the proposed DSP. TfL were clear that refuse collection must take place from the loading bay, and as such the condition (6) requiring compliance with the DSP will reinforce this point.
- 10.127 TfL has raised concern that the construction process could impact on TfL's highways network. While there is no concern that adequate construction management processes can be put in place, further details would be required to be provided to and approved by the Council; (in conjunction with TfL) by condition (4) in the event of planning permission being granted.

#### **Air Quality and Contamination**

- 10.128 In accordance with Islington's Development Management Policies (2013) Policy DM6.1, developments in locations of poor air quality should be designed to mitigate the impact of poor air quality to within acceptable limits. Where mitigation is not provided and/or is not practical planning permission should be refused.
- 10.129 The air quality impacts associated with the construction and operation of the proposed mixed-use development at 240 Seven Sisters Road in Islington have been assessed. Existing conditions within the study area show poor air quality, with the site lying within an Air Quality Management Area and a GLA air quality focus area. The construction works will give rise to a Negligible Risk of human health effects throughout the construction phase (subject to adequate construction management processes). It will therefore be necessary to apply a package of mitigation measures to minimise dust emissions and this would secured by way of the imposition of a condition (4) on any consent granted.
- 10.130 Emissions from the proposed energy plant within the development would lead to a negligible increase in nitrogen dioxide concentrations, both 1-hour and annual mean, at nearby existing receptors. The proposed development will increase building frontage along Seven Sisters Road, whereby the presence of buildings on both sides of the road will potentially reduce the dispersion of vehicle emissions and thereby lead to higher concentrations at properties fronting onto the road. The modelling carried out to assess the impacts of creating a street canyon has emphasised the need for future occupiers of the building to be protected from the external air (the hotel rooms would need to be mechanically ventilated).

- 10.131 It is recommended that a condition (20) be imposed on any consent requiring details of measures to mitigate against air quality impacts in the event of planning permission being granted. It is also recommended that, for the proposed development's construction phase, the submission, approval and implementation of a Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) assessing the environmental impacts (including in relation to air quality, dust, smoke and odour) be secured by condition (5).
- 10.132 London Plan policy 5.21 (Contaminated Land) states that appropriate measures should be undertaken to ensure that development on previously contaminated land does not activate or spread contamination.
- 10.133 Islington's Development Management Policies (2013) Policy DM6.1 (Healthy Development) requires adequate treatment of any contaminated land before development can commence. Geotechnical and Geo-Environmental Studies accompanied the application. The Preliminary Risk Assessment and the Conceptual Site Model carried out as part of the Desk Study Report for the application site have identified potential pollutant linkages. The site would be mostly covered with buildings or hard surfaced area, limiting access to the ground (thereby limiting access to any contamination that could potentially be present). Notwithstanding this, a condition (32) is recommended requiring a detailed and intrusive investigation to search for and identify contaminated material and to undertake remediation as necessary.

#### **Basement**

- 10.134 The application is supported by a Structural Methodology Statement as well as an Engineering report, which assesses the construction of the new building and basement. The analysis examines geology, hydrogeology, existing and proposed structures, drainage, sequence of works and assesses the impact of the proposal on the adjacent structures (including the railway line and London Underground tube tunnels).
- 10.135 The report makes various recommendations, including the following:
  - A detailed survey via trail pits and review of drawings of the adjacent railway retaining wall foundations will need to performed. This will aid further accurate settlement analysis of the retaining wall foundations and its impact on the railway line above. The scope of the analysis and the wall monitoring arrangements during construction are to be agreed with Network Rail. Propping of the basement piled walls will be provided during the basement excavation in order to minimise ground movements adjacent to the railway retaining wall foundations.
  - A survey to accurately plot the locations of the adjacent London Underground tunnels will need to be undertaken. The basement walls will need to be set out to ensure no pile is within 3m of the outer face of the tunnel structure. Current information available suggests the tunnel wall is approximately 3.5m from the proposed basement wall at the nearest point. A party wall agreement will need to be put in place with London Underground with monitoring and basement design parameters to be agreed during the detailed design stage.
- 10.136 The above requirements will need to be secured by condition of any consent granted. The application was referred to Network Rail and London Underground who have provided comments and suggested conditions (29), informatives and planning obligations (which are also recommended).

#### <u>Planning Obligations, Community Infrastructure Levy and local finance</u> <u>considerations</u>

- 10.137 The Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Regulations 2010, part 11 introduced the requirement that planning obligations under section 106 must meet three statutory tests, i.e. that they are (i) necessary to make the development acceptable in planning terms, (ii) directly related to the development, and (iii) fairly and reasonably related in scale and kind to the development.
- 10.138 Under the terms of the Planning Act 2008 (as amended) and Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010 (as amended), the Mayor of London's and Islington's Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) will be chargeable on this application on grant of planning permission. This will be calculated in accordance with the Mayor's adopted Community Infrastructure Levy Charging Schedule 2012 and the Islington adopted Community Infrastructure Levy Charging Schedule 2014.
- 10.139 A number of site-specific contributions will be sought, which are not covered by CIL. The section 106 agreement will include the contributions listed in Appendix 1 of this report.

#### 11. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

#### **Summary**

- 11.1 The application is for the demolition of existing buildings and redevelopment of the site to provide a building of 8 storeys (ground plus 7 upper storeys) accommodating a 192-bedroom hotel (C1 use), ground floor bar/restaurant (A4/A3 use), together with ancillary hard and soft landscaping, cycle parking, refuse storage, and related works.
- 11.2 The proposed mix of uses in this town centre location, in close proximity to a National Railway Station, is considered acceptable in land use terms. The loss of existing retail units is also considered acceptable. A financial contribution is to be secured (£946,035) towards the provision of offsite affordable workspace initiatives. The proposal is thus considered to be in accordance with London Plan Policy 4.5, Islington Core Strategy (2011) CS14 and Development Management Policies (2013) policies DM4.4 and 4.11.
- 11.3 Overall, the scale, massing, height and proposed architectural language is considered to make a positive contribution to creating a coherent streetscape. The design has responded successfully to the comments made by the DRP in terms of height, massing and overall design and is considered to be sufficiently sympathetic in scale and appearance to the local aesthetic and identity. The proposal is thus in accordance with Policy 7.6 of the London Plan, Policies CS2, CS8 and CS9 of Islington's Core Strategy and the aims and objectives of Development Management Policy DM2.1 and DM2.3.
- 11.4 The proposal would create a more attractive public realm and result in a more pedestrian-friendly environment. The application would meet inclusive design requirements and provides an accessible and inclusive environment in accordance with planning policy.
- 11.5 The proposal would not have any unacceptable impact on neighbouring residential amenity. The application is considered to be a sustainable form of development in terms of energy efficiency, renewable energy and the provision

of sustainable forms of transport. For the reasons given above and explained in more detail in the subsequent sections of this report, the proposal is considered to be acceptable and in accordance with relevant planning policy and is thus recommended for approval subject to conditions and the completion of a section 106 agreement to secure the necessary mitigation measures.

#### **Conclusion**

11.6 It is recommended that planning permission be granted subject to referral to the Mayor of London, as well as the conditions and s106 legal agreement heads of terms as set out in Appendix 1 - RECOMMENDATIONS.

## **APPENDIX 1 – RECOMMENDATIONS**

## **RECOMMENDATION A**

That planning permission be granted subject to the prior completion of a Deed of Planning Obligation made under section 106 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 between the Council and all persons with an interest in the land (including mortgagees) in order to secure the following planning obligations to the satisfaction of the Head of Law and Public Services and the Service Director, Planning and Development / Head of Service – Development Management or, in their absence, the Deputy Head of Service.

- The repair and reinstatement of the footways and highways adjoining the development. The cost is to be confirmed by LBI Highways and TfL, paid for by the applicant and the work carried out by LBI Highways and TfL. Conditions surveys may be required.
- The removal of redundant existing dropped kerbs, to be paid for by the applicant and carried out by LBI Highways and TfL.
- Enter into a S287 agreement with TfL for works to the footway, including public realm works and installation of cycle parking facilities.
- A Stage 1 Road Safety Audit carried out to examine how pedestrians can be managed to avoid safety issues when crossing Seven Sisters Road during construction. Funding the implementation of audit recommendations.
- Compliance with the Code of Employment and Training.
- Facilitation, during the construction phase of the development, of 10 work placements. The placements must last a minimum of 26 weeks. The council's approved provider/s to recruit for and monitor placements, with the developer/contractor to pay wages. The contractor is expected to pay the going rate for an operative, and industry research indicates that this is invariably above or well above the national minimum wage and even the London Living Wage. If these placements are not provided, a fee of £50,000 to be paid to the council.
- Payment towards employment and training for local residents of a sum of £13,903.
- Provision of a contribution towards offsite provision of affordable workspace £946,035, to be paid no later than 12 months from the date of commencement.
- Compliance with the Code of Local Procurement.
- Compliance with the Code of Construction Practice, including a monitoring fee of £9,845 and submission of a site-specific response document to the Code of Construction Practice for approval of LBI Public Protection, which shall be submitted prior to any works commencing on site.
- The provision of 22 additional accessible parking bays or a contribution towards bays or other accessible transport initiatives of £44,000.
- A contribution towards offsetting any projected residual carbon dioxide emissions of the development, to be charged at the established price per tonne of carbon dioxide for Islington (currently £920). Total £328,440
- Connection to a local energy network (DEN or SHN). As a minimum, future-proofing of any on-site heating/hot water system so that the development can be connected to a local energy network if a viable opportunity arises in the future.
- Submission of a Green Performance Plan.
- Submission of a draft full Travel Plan for council approval prior to occupation, and of a full Travel Plan for council approval six months from first occupation of the development or phase.
- Car-free development.
- Council's legal fees in preparing the Section 106 agreement and officer's fees for the preparation, monitoring and implementation of the Section 106 agreement.

That the grant of planning permission be subject to **conditions** to secure the following:

1	Commencement (Compliance)
	CONDITION: The development hereby permitted shall be begun not later than the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.
	REASON: To comply with the provisions of Section 91(1)(a) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended by the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (Chapter 5).
2	Approved plans list (Compliance)
	CONDITION: The development hereby approved shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved plans:
	Approved Plans:
	D0099 Rev P11; D0200 Rev P7; D0100 Rev P13; D0203 Rev P7; D0202 Rev P7; D0201 Rev P7; D0108 Rev P9; D0107 Rev P9; D0106 Rev P9; D0105 Rev P9; D0104 Rev P9; D0103 Rev P9; D0102 Rev P9; D0101 Rev P9; D0001 Rev P2, D0204 P7, D0205 Rev P6.
	Approved supporting documents:
	Concentration Survey of Hotels and Similar Uses dated 23 August 2018; Seven Sisters Energy Statement Version 8 dated 17 August 2018; Transport Assessment R03PW 180814 Dated August 2018; Framework Travel Plan dated August 2018; Delivery and Servicing Plan dated August 2018; Access Statement 69094/PW/SPM dated 17 August 2018; Planning Statement dated August 2018; Design and Access Statement dated August 2018; Environmental Noise and Vibration Survey and Assessment SRB/0768/A Dated 18 August 2017; Site Waste Management Plan V1 dated July 2017; Geo-environmental and Geotechnical Assessment P99869J1035 V1 dated August 2017; Statement of Community Involvement dated August 2017; Kitchen Extract Ventilation 4139-4-2-REP-ME01-B dated August 2017; Below Ground Drainage and SUDS Report Rev P4 dated 18 August 2017; Flood Risk Assessment 11995 dated August 2017; Structural Method Statement 17.728-RP-01 Rev PL1 dated 24 July 2017; Air Quality Assessment J2823A/1/F3 dated 17 August 2017; BREEAM Pre-assessment.
	Meteriale and Semples (Deteile)
3	Materials and Samples (Details) CONDITION: Details and samples of all facing materials shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority prior to any above ground work commencing on site. The details and samples shall include:
	<ul> <li>a) Facing Brickwork(s); Sample panels of proposed brickwork to be used showing the colour, texture, pointing and textured brickwork and boundary walls shall be provided;</li> <li>b) Window details;</li> <li>c) Roof materials;</li> </ul>

	d) Metal cladding;
	e) Doors and access points;
	f) Feature bands;
	g) Canopies;
	h) Any other materials to be used (including cycle stands).
	n) Any other materials to be used (including cycle stands).
	The development shall be carried out strictly in accordance with the details so approved and shall be maintained as such thereafter.
	REASON: In the interest of securing sustainable development and to ensure that the resulting appearance and construction of the development is of a high standard.
4	Demolition and Construction Management Plan and Construction Logistics Plan (Details)
	CONDITION: No development shall take place until a Demolition and Construction
	Management Plan (DCMP) and Construction Logistics Plan (CLP) have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority following consultation with Transport for London.
	The DOMD and OLD shall ask sut the measures are used to see the state of the second st
	The DCMP and CLP shall set out the measures proposed to ensure demolition and construction will be undertaken in a manner which does not cause harm to the amenity of nearby occupiers, pedestrian or highway safety and shall include:
	a) Identification of construction vehicle routes;
	b) How construction related traffic would turn into and exit the site;
	c) Details of banksmen to be used during construction works;
	d) Storage of plant and materials used in constructing the development;
	e) The erection and maintenance of security hoarding including decorative displays and facilities for public viewing, where appropriate;
	f) Wheel washing facilities;
	g) Measures to control the emission of dust and dirt during demolition and construction;
	h) A scheme for recycling/disposing of waste resulting from demolition and construction works.
	i) Measures to prevent construction vehicles driving onto footpaths at any time
	j) The notification of the Council, neighbours and TfL with regard to specific works and advance notification of any access way, pavement, or road closures;
	<ul> <li>k) Details regarding parking, deliveries and storage including details of the routing, loading, off-loading, parking, size, frequency, dwell time and turning of delivery and construction vehicles and the accommodation of all site operatives', visitors'</li> </ul>
	and construction vehicles during the construction period;
	I) The proposed hours and days of work (with reference to the limitations of noisy
	work which shall not take place outside the hours of 08.00-18.00 Monday to
	Friday, 08.00-13.00 on Saturdays, and none on Sundays or Bank Holidays.)
	m) Details as to how safe and convenient vehicle access will be maintained for all
	existing vehicle traffic using Seven Sisters Road and Isledon Road at all times,
	including emergency service vehicles;
	n) Details of measures taken to minimise the impacts of the construction process
	on air quality, including NRMM registration.
	o) Confirmation that construction traffic is not to attend the site during periods of
	peak network congestion (7-10am and 4-7pm).
	The development shall be carried out strictly in accordance with the approved CMP
	and CLP throughout the construction period.

	REASON: In order to secure the safe and efficient operation of the highway network, local residential amenity and to mitigate the impacts of the development.
5	Construction Environmental Management Plan (Details)
	A Construction Environmental Management Plan assessing the environmental impacts (including (but not limited to) noise, smoke and odour, vibration and TV reception) of the development shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority prior to any works commencing on site. The report shall assess impacts during the construction phase of the development on nearby residents and other occupiers together with means of mitigating any identified impacts. The development shall be carried out strictly in accordance with the details so approved and no change therefrom shall take place without the prior written consent of the Local Planning Authority."
	The applicant should pay reference to the LBI's Code of Practice for Construction Sites and the guidance contained within on noise, dust, air quality, Non Road Mobile Machinery register.
	REASON: In order to mitigate the impacts of the development.
6	Delivery & Servicing (Compliance)
	CONDITION: The development shall be constructed and operated strictly in accordance with the Delivery and Servicing Plan hereby approved. No change therefrom shall take place without the prior written consent of the Local Planning Authority. Refuse collection shall only take place from the loading bay on Seven Sisters Road.
	REASON: The vehicle facilities are considered to form an essential element of the development, without which the scheme would have a harmful impact on both amenity and the free-flow and safety of traffic and the public highways.
7	Hours of operation for Restaurant and bar (Compliance)
	CONDITION: The ground floor bar and restaurant hereby approved shall not be open to members of the public except between the hours of 06:00 hours through to 00:30 hours the following day.
	REASON: To ensure that the operation of the bar and restaurant does not unduly impact on residential amenity.
8	Obscure Glazing and Privacy Screens (Details)
	CONDITION: Notwithstanding the plans hereby approved, further details of privacy measures to prevent overlooking from the hotel towards the student accommodation building at 189-219 Seven Sisters Road shall be submitted and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority prior to any works above first floor level commencing on site.
	Specifically details of privacy measures for the following hotel room windows are required:
	• Level 2: rooms 202, 204 and 206,
	<ul> <li>Level 2: rooms 202, 204 and 200,</li> <li>Level 3: rooms 302, 304 and 306,</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Level 4: rooms 402, 404 and 406,</li> </ul>
	• Level 5: rooms 502, 504 and 506,

	• Level 6: rooms 602, 604 and 606.
	The measures to prevent overlooking shall be installed prior to the occupation of the relevant hotel rooms and retained as such permanently thereafter.
	REASON: In the interest of preventing undue overlooking between habitable rooms within the development itself, to protect the future amenity and privacy of residents.
9	Inclusive Design (Details)
	CONDITION: Notwithstanding the plans hereby approved the scheme shall be constructed in accordance with the principles of Inclusive Design and Islington's Development Management Policy 4.11.
	Plans and details confirming that these standards have been met shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority prior to any superstructure works commencing on site. The details shall include:
	<ul> <li>a) Refuge Areas on all upper and lower floors;</li> <li>b) Cycle storage and changing facilities including provision of accessible cycle storage and mobility scooter storage (with 30 minutes of fire protection);</li> <li>c) Maximum feasible amount of wheelchair accessible rooms (fully fitted out) up to a minimum of 19 wheelchair accessible bedrooms;</li> <li>d) Details of how each floor plan and layout accords with good inclusive design principles and needs. The development shall be carried out strictly in accordance with the details so approved and shall be maintained as such thereafter;</li> <li>e) Details of accessible baby changing facilities;</li> <li>f) Accessible toilet facilities for restaurant and bar use;</li> <li>f) Accessible bathroom and shower facilities for staff.</li> </ul>
	REASON: In order to facilitate and promote inclusive and sustainable communities.
10	Inclusive Design (Compliance)
	CONDITION: All lifts serving the hotel accommodation hereby approved shall be installed and operational prior to the first occupation of the office floorspace hereby approved.
	REASON: To ensure that inclusive and accessible routes are provided throughout the office floorspace at all floors and also accessible routes through the site are provided to ensure no one is excluded from full use and enjoyment of the site.
11	Solar Photovoltaic Panels (Details) CONDITION: Prior to any works above first floor level, details of the proposed Solar Photovoltaic Panels shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. These details shall include but not be limited to:
	<ul> <li>a) Location;</li> <li>b) Output of panels</li> <li>c) Area of panels; and</li> <li>d) Design (including elevation plans).</li> </ul>
	The solar photovoltaic panels as approved shall be installed prior to the first occupation of the development and retained as such permanently thereafter.

	REASON: In the interest of addressing climate change and to secure sustainable development.
12	Green/Brown Biodiversity Roofs (Details)
	CONDITION: Prior to any work above first floor level commencing on the development details of the biodiversity (green/brown) roofs shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.
	The green/brown roof shall:
	<ul> <li>a) Be biodiversity based with extensive substrate base (depth 80 -150mm);</li> <li>b) Contribute towards a 50% reduction in surface water run-off; and</li> <li>c) Be planted/seeded with a mix of species within the first planting season following the practical completion of the building works (the seed mix shall be focused on wildflower planting, and shall contain no more than a maximum of 25% sedum).</li> </ul>
	The biodiversity (green/brown) roofs should be maximised across the site and shall not be used as an amenity or sitting out space of any kind whatsoever and shall only be used in the case of essential maintenance or repair, or escape in case of emergency.
	The biodiversity roof(s) shall be carried out strictly in accordance with the details as approved, shall be laid out within 3 months of next available appropriate planting season after the construction of the building it is located on and shall be maintained as such thereafter.
	REASON: To ensure the development provides the maximum possible provision towards creation of habitats, valuable areas for biodiversity and minimise run-off.
13	Drainage and SUDS (Details)
	CONDITION: The SUDS measures as outlined in the approved Drainage Statement shall be installed and operational prior to the occupation of the development hereby approved.
	Further details shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Those details shall include:
	<ul> <li>a) a timetable for its implementation, and</li> <li>b) a management and maintenance plan for the lifetime of the development which shall include the arrangements for adoption by any public body or statutory undertaker, or any other arrangements to secure the operation of the sustainable drainage scheme throughout its lifetime.</li> </ul>
	No building(s) hereby approved shall be occupied unless and until the approved sustainable drainage scheme for the site has been installed/completed strictly in accordance with the approved details.
	The scheme shall thereafter be managed and maintained in accordance with the approved details.
	REASON: To ensure that sustainable management of water and minimise the potential for surface level flooding.
14	Energy Efficiency – CO2 Reduction (Compliance/Details)

	CONDITION: The energy efficiency measures as outlined within the approved Energy Statement which shall provide for no less than a 27% on-site total C02 reduction (regulated and unregulated emissions) in comparison with total emissions from a building which complies with Building Regulations 2013 shall be installed and operational prior to the first occupation of the development.
	Energy Statement, the following should be submitted and approved: A revised Energy Statement, which shall provide for no less than a 27% onsite total C02 reduction (regulated and unregulated emissions) in comparison with total emissions from a building which complies with Building Regulations 2013, and clearly set out the value of any revised carbon offset contribution.
	The final agreed scheme shall be installed and in operation prior to the first occupation.
	The development shall be carried out strictly in accordance with the details so approved and shall be maintained as such thereafter.
	REASON: In the interest of addressing climate change and to secure sustainable development.
15	Landscaping (Details)
	CONDITION: Notwithstanding the submitted detail and the development hereby approved a landscaping scheme shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority prior to completion of the 8 <sup>th</sup> floor level. The scheme shall include the following details:
	<ul> <li>a) existing and proposed underground services and their relationship to both hard and soft landscaping;</li> <li>b) soft plantings: including grass and turf areas, shrub and herbaceous areas;</li> <li>c) enclosures and boundary treatment: including types, dimensions and treatments of walls, fences, screen walls, barriers, rails, and retaining walls;</li> <li>d) hard landscaping: including ground surfaces, kerbs, edges, ridge and flexible pavings, unit paving, furniture, steps and if applicable synthetic surfaces;</li> <li>e) inclusive design principles adopted in the landscaped features;</li> <li>f) bird and bat boxes; and</li> <li>g) any other landscaping feature(s) forming part of the scheme.</li> </ul>
	All landscaping in accordance with the approved scheme shall be completed / planted during the first planting season following practical completion of the relevant phase of the development hereby approved in accordance with the approved planting phase. The landscaping and tree planting shall have a two-year maintenance / watering provision following planting and any existing tree shown to be retained or trees or shrubs to be planted as part of the approved landscaping scheme which are removed, die, become severely damaged or diseased within five years of completion of the development shall be replaced with the same species or an approved alternative to the satisfaction of the Local Planning Authority within the next planting season.
	The development shall be carried out strictly in accordance with the details so approved and shall be maintained as such thereafter.

	REASON: In the interest of biodiversity, sustainability and to ensure that a satisfactory standard of visual amenity is provided and maintained.
16	BREEAM (Compliance)
	CONDITION: The Hotel portion of the development shall achieve a BREEAM New Construction 2014 rating of no less than 'Excellent'.
	REASON: In the interest of addressing climate change and to secure sustainable development.
17	Rainwater Harvesting (Details)
	CONDITION: Details of the rainwater and greywater recycling system shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority prior to any works commencing above first floor level.
	The details shall demonstrate the maximum level of recycled water that can feasibly be provided to the development. The rainwater and greywater recycling system shall be carried out strictly in accordance with the details so approved, installed and operational prior to the first occupation of the building to which they form and shall be maintained as such thereafter.
	REASON: To ensure the sustainable management and use of water, and to minimise impacts on water infrastructure, potential for surface level flooding.
18	Noise of Fixed Plant (Compliance)
	CONDITION: The design and installation of new items of fixed plant shall be such that when operating the cumulative noise level Laeq Tr arising from the proposed plant, measured or predicted at 1m from the façade of the nearest noise sensitive premises, shall be a rating level of at least 5dB(A) below the background noise level LAF90 Tbg. The measurement and/or prediction of the noise should be carried out in accordance with the methodology contained within BS 4142:1997.
	REASON: To ensure that the development does not have an undue adverse impact on nearby residential amenity or business operations.
19	Lighting Plan (Details)
	CONDITION: Details of any external general or security lighting (including full specification of all luminaries, lamps and support structures), and the location and design of any CCTV camera equipment shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority prior to works commencing above first floor level. The details shall be installed and operational prior to the first occupation of the development hereby approved and maintained as such permanently thereafter.
	REASON: In the interest of protecting neighbouring and future residential amenity and existing and future habitats from undue light-spill.
20	Air Quality Assessment (Details)
	CONDITION: Before commencement of any works above first floor level, an air quality report shall be submitted to and agreed by the Local Planning Authority. The report shall detail:
	<ul> <li>a) the area within the boundary of the site, which may exceed relevant national air quality objectives.</li> </ul>

	<ul> <li>b) specify how the detailed application will address any potential to cause relevant exposure to air pollution levels exceeding the national air quality objectives.</li> <li>c) identify areas of potential exposure.</li> <li>d) detail how the development will reduce its impact on local air pollution.</li> </ul>
	The report shall include evidence that regard has been given to the guidance from the Association of London Government "Air quality assessment for planning applications – Technical Guidance Note", the GLA's Air Quality Neutral policy and "Sustainable Design and Construction" SPG and EP-UK & IAQM's "Planning For Air Quality" in the compilation of the report."
	REASON: To ensure an adequate air quality to future occupiers.
21	No Plumbing or Pipes (Compliance/Details)
	CONDITION: Notwithstanding the plans hereby approved, no plumbing, down pipes, rainwater pipes or foul pipes other than those shown on the approved plans shall be located to the external elevations of buildings hereby approved without obtaining express planning consent unless submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority as part of discharging this condition.
	REASON: The Local Planning Authority considers that such plumbing and pipes would potentially detract from the appearance of the building and undermine the current assessment of the application.
22	Refuse/Recycling Provided (Details)
	CONDITION: Details of refuse / recycling storage and collection arrangements shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority prior to commencement of development.
	The refuse / recycling storage and collection arrangements shall ensure that storage bins do not obstruct the public highway. The dedicated refuse / recycling enclosure(s) approved shall be provided prior to the first occupation of the development hereby approved and shall be maintained as such thereafter.
	REASON: To secure the necessary physical waste enclosures to support the development and to ensure that responsible waste management practices are adhered to.
23	Cycle Parking (Details)
	CONDITION: Notwithstanding the submitted plans, details of long and short stay bicycle storage (12 long-stay and 13 short-stay spaces) shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority in consultation with TfL prior to any work above first floor level commencing on site.
	The approved bicycle storage shall be provided prior to the first occupation of the development hereby approved and shall be maintained as such thereafter.
	REASON: To ensure adequate cycle parking is available and easily accessible on site, to promote sustainable modes of transport and to secure the high quality design of the structures proposed.
24	Noise Impact Assessment (Details)
	CONDITION: A report is to be commissioned by the applicant, using an appropriately experienced & competent person, to assess the noise from the proposed mechanical

plant to demonstrate compliance with the Environmental Noise and Vibration Survey and Assessment SRB/0768/A Dated 18 August 2017. The report shall include measurement of the new plant following installation. The report shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority within 2 months of occupation.
REASON: To ensure that the development does not have an undue adverse impact on nearby residential amenity or business operations.
Roof-Level Structures (Details)
CONDITION: Details of any roof-level structures (including lift over-runs, flues/extracts and plant room) shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority prior to any work commencing on site above the first floor level. The details shall include a justification for the height and size of the roof-level structures, their location, height above roof level, specifications and cladding.
The development shall be carried out strictly in accordance with the details so approved and no change therefrom shall take place without the prior written consent of the Local Planning Authority. No roof-level structures shall be installed other than those approved.
REASON: In the interests of good design and also to ensure that the Local Planning Authority may be satisfied that any roof-level structures do not have a harmful impact on the surrounding streetscene or the character and appearance of the area.
Security (Details)
CONDITION: Prior to works commencing above first floor level, details of how the development will be designed to be secure from crime shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority in consultation with the Metropolitan Police. The details shall include:
<ul><li>a) Measures to control access and movement;</li><li>b) Safe locations for luggage storage.</li></ul>
The development shall be carried out strictly in accordance with the details so approved and shall be maintained as such thereafter.
REASON: In the interests of safety and security.
London Underground (Details)
CONDITION: The development hereby permitted shall not be commenced until detailed design and method statements (prepared in consultation with London Underground) for all of the foundations, basement and ground floor structures, or for any other structures below ground level, including piling (temporary and permanent), have been submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority which:
<ul> <li>a) provide details on all structures;</li> <li>b) accommodate the location of the existing London Underground structures and tunnel;</li> <li>c) accommodate ground movement arising from the construction thereof;</li> <li>d) mitigate the effects of noise and vibration arising from the adjoining operations within the structures and tunnels.</li> </ul>

	The development shall thereafter be carried out in all respects in accordance with the approved design and method statements, and all structures and works comprised within the development hereby permitted which are required by the approved design statements in order to procure the matters mentioned in paragraphs of this condition shall be completed, in their entirety, before any part of the building hereby permitted is occupied. Reason: To ensure that the development does not impact on existing London Underground transport infrastructure.
28	Network Rail Method Statement (Details)
20	CONDITION: A method statement detailing impacts on Network Rail's assets and infrastructure shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority in consultation with Network Rail's Asset Protection Project Manager prior to commencement of works commencing on site. The method statement shall include details of the following:
	<ul> <li>a) Risk assessment in relation to railway infrastructure,</li> <li>b) Demolition and construction details,</li> <li>c) Vibro-impact machinery,</li> <li>d) Scaffolding,</li> <li>e) Cranes,</li> <li>f) Boundary protection.</li> </ul>
	The development shall be carried out strictly in accordance with the details so approved and no change therefrom shall take place without the prior written consent of the Local Planning Authority.
	REASON: In the interests of safety, the protection of railway infrastructure and to mitigate the impacts of the development.
29	Basement Structural Method Statement (Details)
	Prior to commencement of basement excavation, a detailed survey via trail pits and review of drawings of the adjacent railway retaining wall foundations shall be submitted and be approved by the Local Planning Authority in consultation with Network Rail. The survey shall establish an accurate settlement analysis of the retaining wall foundations and its impact on the railway line above. The scope of the analysis and the wall monitoring arrangements during construction are to be agreed with Network Rail.
	Propping of the basement piled walls shall be provided during the basement excavation in order to minimise ground movements adjacent to the railway retaining wall foundations.
	In addition, and prior to excavation of the basement, a further survey shall be undertaken and submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority in consultation with London Underground. The survey shall accurately plot the locations of the adjacent London Underground tunnels. The proposed basement walls will need to be set out to ensure no pile is within 3m of the outer face of the tunnel structure.
	Thereafter the development shall be undertaken in strict accordance with the approved surveys.

	REASON: In the interests of safety, the protection of railway and tube infrastructure and to mitigate the impacts of the development.
30	Hotel Management Plan (Details)
	CONDITION: Prior to occupation of the hotel hereby approved, a Hotel Management Plan shall be submitted and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The Hotel Management Plan shall include the following information:
	<ul> <li>a) Hotel staff training on safety and security matters;</li> <li>b) Measures to be put in place to prevent any group bookings of 4 or more people or booking for guests who would arrive by coach;</li> <li>c) Complaint recording and complaint handling procedures;</li> <li>d) Maximum length of stay being limited to 90 consecutive days in any 12 month period and measures to ensure that visitor accommodation is not permanently occupied;</li> <li>e) Emergency evacuation procedures for disabled guests.</li> </ul>
	The development shall be carried out strictly in accordance with the details so approved and no change therefrom shall take place without the prior written consent of the Local Planning Authority.
	REASON: To mitigate the impacts of the development and reduce the likelihood of coaches arriving at the site given that it will have no coach parking facilities.
31	No obscure glazing at ground level (Compliance)
	CONDITION: The window glass of all ground floor commercial units shall not be painted, tinted or otherwise obscured and no furniture or fixings which may obscure visibility above a height of 1.4m above finished floor level shall be placed within 2.0m of the inside of the window glass.
	REASON: In the interest of securing passive surveillance of the street, an appropriate street frontage appearance and preventing the creation of dead/inactive frontages.
22	Conteminated Land (Dataila)
32	Contaminated Land (Details) CONDITION:
	<ul> <li>c) The development shall be carried out strictly in accordance with the Jomas Associates ground investigation report dated 04 August 2017 and CLR11 and any scheme of remedial works so approved and no change therefrom shall take place without the prior written approval of the Local Planning Authority.</li> <li>d) Following completion of measures identified in the approved remediation scheme a verification report, that demonstrates the effectiveness of the remediation carried out, must be produced which is subject to the approval in writing of the Local Planning Authority in accordance with part a) of this condition.</li> </ul>
	REASON: Given the history of the site the land may be contaminated, investigation and potential remediation is necessary to safeguard the health and safety of future occupants.

1	Planning Obligations Agreement
	You are advised that this permission has been granted subject to the completion of a director level agreement to secure agreed planning obligations.
2	Superstructure
	DEFINITION OF 'SUPERSTRUCTURE' AND 'PRACTICAL COMPLETION' A number of conditions attached to this permission have the time restrictions 'prior to superstructure works commencing on site' and/or 'following practical completion'. The council considers the definition of 'superstructure' as having its normal or dictionary meaning, which is: the part of a building above its foundations. The council considers the definition of 'practical completion' to be: when the work reaches a state of readiness for use or occupation even though there may be outstanding works/matters to be carried out.
3	Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) (Granting Consent)
	INFORMATIVE: Under the terms of the Planning Act 2008 (as amended) and Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010 (as amended), this development is liable to pay the Mayor of London's Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL). This will be calculated in accordance with the Mayor of London's CIL Charging Schedule 2012. One of the development parties must now assume liability to pay CIL by submitting an Assumption of Liability Notice to the Council at <u>cil@islington.gov.uk</u> . The Council will then issue a Liability Notice setting out the amount of CIL that is payable.
	Failure to submit a valid Assumption of Liability Notice and Commencement Notice prior to commencement of the development may result in surcharges being imposed. The above forms can be found on the planning portal at: <a href="https://www.planningportal.gov.uk/planning/applications/howtoapply/whattosubmit/cil">www.planningportal.gov.uk/planning/applications/howtoapply/whattosubmit/cil</a>
4	Car-Free Development
	INFORMATIVE: (Car-Free Development) All new developments are car free in accordance with Policy CS10 of the Islington Core Strategy 2011. This means that occupiers of the proposed development will have no ability to obtain car parking permits, except for parking needed to meet the needs of disabled people, or other exemption under the Council Parking Policy Statement.
5	Groundwater
	A Groundwater Risk Management Permit from Thames Water will be required for discharging groundwater into a public sewer. Any discharge made without a permit is deemed illegal and may result in prosecution under the provisions of the Water Industry Act 1991. We would expect the developer to demonstrate what measures he will undertake to minimise groundwater discharges into the public sewer. Permit enquiries should be directed to Thames Water's Risk Management Team by telephoning 02035779483 or by emailing wwqriskmanagement@thameswater.co.uk
	Application forms should be completed on line via <u>www.thameswater.co.uk/wastewaterquality</u> .
	Thames Water requests that the Applicant should incorporate within their proposal, protection to the property by installing a positive pumped device (or equivalent

	reflecting technological advances) to avoid the risk of backflow at a later date, on the assumption that the sewerage network may surcharge to ground level during storm conditions. Fitting only a nonreturn valve could result in flooding to the property should there be prolonged surcharge in the public sewer. If as part of the basement development there is a proposal to discharge ground water to the public network.
6	Water Pressure
	INFORMATIVE: Thames Water will aim to provide customers with a minimum pressure of 10m head (approximately 1 bar) and a flow rate of 9 litres/minute at the point where it leaves Thames Waters pipes. The developer should take account of this minimum pressure in the design of the proposed development.
	Following initial investigations, Thames Water has identified an inability of the existing water network infrastructure to accommodate the needs of this development proposal. Thames Water have contacted the developer in an attempt to agree a position on water networks but have been unable to do so in the time available and as such Thames Water request that the following condition be added to any planning permission. No properties shall be occupied until confirmation has been provided that either:- all water network upgrades required to accommodate the additional flows from the development have been completed; or - a housing and infrastructure phasing plan has been agreed with Thames Water to allow additional properties to be occupied. Where a housing and infrastructure phasing plan is agreed no occupation shall take place other than in accordance with the agreed housing and infrastructure phasing plan.
	The developer can request information to support the discharge of this condition by visiting the Thames Water website at thameswater.co.uk/preplanning. Should the Local Planning Authority consider the above recommendation inappropriate or are unable to include it in the decision notice, it is important that the Local Planning Authority liaises with Thames Water Development Planning Department (telephone 0203 577 9998) prior to the planning application approval.
	There are water mains crossing or close to your development. Thames Water do NOT permit the building over or construction within 3m of water mains. If you're planning significant works near our mains (within 3m) we'll need to check that your development doesn't reduce capacity, limit repair or maintenance activities during and after construction, or inhibit the services we provide in any other way. The applicant is advised to read our guide working near or diverting our pipes. https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development/Working-near-ordiverting-our-pipes
	The proposed development is located within 15m of Thames Waters underground assets, as such the development could cause the assets to fail if appropriate measures are not taken. Please read our guide 'working near our assets' to ensure your workings are in line with the necessary processes you need to follow if you're considering working above or near our pipes or other structures. https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development/Working-near-or-diverting-our-pipes
	Should you require further information please contact Thames Water. Email: <u>developer.services@thameswater.co.uk</u>

7	Surface Water Drainage
	INFORMATIVE: In respect of surface water it is recommended that the applicant should ensure that storm flows are attenuated or regulated into the receiving public network through on or off site storage. When it is proposed to connect to a combined public sewer, the site drainage should be separate and combined at the final manhole nearest the boundary. Connections are not permitted for the removal of groundwater.
	Thames Water Developer Services will be required. The contact number is 0800 009 3921.
8	Materials
	INFORMATIVE: In addition to compliance with condition 3 materials procured for the development should be selected to be sustainably sourced and otherwise minimise their environmental impact, including through maximisation of recycled content, use of local suppliers and by reference to the BRE's Green Guide Specification.
9	Construction Management
	INFORMATIVE: You are advised that condition 4 covers transport and environmental health issues during construction works and should include the following information:
	<ul> <li>a) identification of construction vehicle routes;</li> <li>b) how construction related traffic would turn into and exit the site;</li> <li>c) details of banksmen to be used during construction works;</li> <li>d) the method of demolition and removal of material from the site;</li> <li>e) the parking of vehicles of site operatives and visitors;</li> <li>f) loading and unloading of plant and materials;</li> <li>g) storage of plant and materials used in constructing the development;</li> <li>h) the erection and maintenance of security hoarding including decorative displays and facilities for public viewing, where appropriate;</li> <li>i) wheel washing facilities;</li> <li>j) measures to control the emission of dust and dirt during construction;</li> <li>k) a scheme for recycling/disposing of waste resulting from demolition and construction works;</li> <li>l) noise;</li> <li>m) air quality including dust, smoke and odour;</li> <li>n) vibration; and</li> <li>o) TV reception.</li> </ul>
10	Sprinkler Systems
	INFORMATIVE: While fire safety and floor layout will be further considered though the building control process, you are strongly advised by the London Fire and Emergency Planning Authority to install sprinkler systems as these significantly reduce the damage caused by fire and the consequential cost to business and housing providers, and can reduce the risk to life.
11	London Underground
	INFORMATIVE: The applicant is advised to contact London Underground Infrastructure Protection in advance of preparation of final design and associated method statements, in particular with regard to: demolition; excavation and construction methods; This site is also adjacent to Network Rail assets. Please

	contact them directly to query what affect if any your proposals will have on their railway.
12	<b>Network Rail</b> INFORMATIVE: The applicant should contact Network Rail's Property Services Team if they haven't done so already (propertyservicesIneem@networkrail.co.uk) to understand further the implications this may have. Often these sites are sold and are the subject of a demarcation agreement which may include particular rights in relation safe operation of the railway and associated infrastructure. It must be remembered that where Network Rail has access rights over the development site; access must not be blocked or restricted at any time.
13	<ul> <li>Network Rail 2</li> <li>INFORMATIVE: Given the proximity of the site to the operational railway infrastructure, including a retaining wall and 25kV overhead line equipment, it is essential that the developer contact our Asset Protection Team (details below) to discuss the proposed development well in advance of any work starting on site. This is to ensure that the proposals have no impact on the operational safety of the railway infrastructure and that construction work can be carried out safely. Points to be considered include (but are not limited to) the following;</li> <li>Maintaining Network Rail access to the retaining wall to enable continued inspection and maintenance on a 24/7 basis as required</li> <li>Whilst noting that further discussion with Network Rail is necessary (and presumably LUL for the Victoria Line Tunnels) the geotechnical calculations are subject to speculation about the founding of the railway embankment/retaining wall and are only considering settlement. It's probable that there will be uplift due to removal of existing buildings and the basement excavation and also lateral movement due to the latter to be considered for a full assessment of potential effects on Network Rail's reserved access rights and require use of Network Rail's retained land (CR32733), which would need formal agreement with Network Rail's retained land (CR32733), which would need formal agreement with effects of the new and existing buildings on each other. It will also be necessary to consider effects on the railway and, particularly, glint and glare affecting train drivers and their ability to sight signals.</li> <li>There should be no balconies on the railway face - which is shown as being only 3.5m clear of the retaining wall for a railway with 25kV overhead power transmission equipment.</li> </ul>
14	Land in Network Rail Ownership
	INFORMATIVE: It is noted that the development is partly based on land owned by Network Rail and that the developer has completed the Certificate B section of the application form accordingly. The developer should contact our Property Services Team (propertyservicesIneem@networkrail.co.uk) if they have not already done so to discuss the possible use of Network Rail land and reach agreement as soon as possible.
15	
	INFORMATIVE: All surface and foul water arising from the proposed works must be collected and diverted away from Network Rail property. All soakaways must be

	located so as to discharge away from the railway infrastructure. The following points need to be addressed:
	<ol> <li>There should be no increase to average or peak flows of surface water run off leading towards Network Rail assets, including earthworks, bridges and culverts.</li> <li>All surface water run off and sewage effluent should be handled in accordance with Local Council and Water Company regulations.</li> </ol>
16	Cranes
	INFORMATIVE: All operations, including the use of cranes or other mechanical plant working adjacent to Network Rail's property, must at all times be carried out in a "fail safe" manner such that in the event of mishandling, collapse or failure, no materials or plant are capable of falling within 3.0m of the nearest rail of the adjacent railway line, or where the railway is electrified, within 3.0m of overhead electrical equipment or supports.
17	Excavations and Earthworks
	INFORMATIVE: All excavations/ earthworks carried out in the vicinity of Network Rail property/ structures must be designed and executed such that no interference with the integrity of that property/ structure can occur. If temporary works compounds are to be located adjacent to the operational railway, these should be included in a method statement for approval by Network Rail. Prior to commencement of works, full details of excavations and earthworks to be carried out near the railway undertaker's boundary fence should be submitted for the approval of the Local Planning Authority acting in consultation with the railway undertaker and the works shall only be carried out in accordance with the approved details. Where development may affect the railway, consultation with the Asset Protection Project Manager should be undertaken. Network Rail will not accept any liability for any settlement, disturbance or damage caused to any development by failure of the railway infrastructure nor for any noise or vibration arising from the normal use and/or maintenance of the operational railway. No right of support is given or can be claimed from Network Rails infrastructure or railway land.
18	Other Network Railway Informatives
	Encroachment: The developer/applicant must ensure that their proposal, both during construction, and after completion of works on site, does not affect the safety, operation or integrity of the operational railway, Network Rail and its infrastructure or undermine or damage or adversely affect any railway land and structures. There must be no physical encroachment of the proposal onto Network Rail land, no over-sailing into Network Rail air-space and no encroachment of foundations onto Network Rail land and soil. There must be no physical encroachment of any foundations onto Network Rail land. Any future maintenance must be conducted solely within the applicant's land ownership. Should the applicant require access to Network Rail land then must seek approval from the Network Rail Asset Protection Team. Any unauthorised access to Network Rail land or air-space is an act of trespass and we would remind the council that this is a criminal offence (s55 British Transport Commission Act 1949). Should the applicant be granted access to Network Rail land then they will be liable for all costs incurred in facilitating the proposal.
	Any scaffold which is to be constructed within 10 metres of the railway boundary fence must be erected in such a manner that at no time will any poles over-sail the railway and protective netting around such scaffold must be installed.

Consideration should be given to ensure that the construction and subsequent maintenance can be carried out to any proposed buildings or structures without adversely affecting the safety of, or encroaching upon Network Rail's adjacent land.

#### Noise/Soundproofing:

Every endeavour should be made by the developer to provide adequate soundproofing for the proposed hotel rooms. Please note that in a worst case scenario there could be trains running 24 hours a day and the soundproofing should take this into account.

#### Trees/Shrubs/Landscaping:

Where trees/shrubs are to be planted adjacent to the railway boundary these shrubs should be positioned at a minimum distance greater than their predicted mature height from the boundary. Certain broad leaf deciduous species should not be planted adjacent to the railway boundary. We would wish to be involved in the approval of any landscaping scheme adjacent to the railway. Where landscaping is proposed as part of an application adjacent to the railway it will be necessary for details of the landscaping to be known and approved to ensure it does not impact upon the railway infrastructure. Any hedge planted adjacent to Network Rail's boundary fencing for screening purposes should be so placed that when fully grown it does not damage the fencing or provide a means of scaling it. No hedge should prevent Network Rail from maintaining its boundary fencing. For information about what trees are not permitted please contact Network Rail. A comprehensive list of permitted tree species is available upon request.

#### Lighting:

Where new lighting is to be erected adjacent to the operational railway the potential for train drivers to be dazzled must be eliminated. In addition the location and colour of lights must not give rise to the potential for confusion with the signaling arrangements on the railway. Detail of any external lighting should be provided as a condition if not already indicated on the application.

#### Access to Railway:

All roads, paths or ways providing access to any part of the railway undertaker's land shall be kept open at all times during and after the development. Particularly, as outlined above access to inspect and maintain the adjacent railway retaining wall must remain clear and unobstructed both during and after construction.

#### Glint and Glare:

As noted above, the documentation provided does not include a specific glint and glare study to ascertain the effect the proposed development will have on the operation of the adjacent railway particularly in terms of signal sighting and driver distraction from reflective surfaces etc. The applicant should supply further details on this point before we can comment further.

#### 19 **TfL Informative**

The A503 Seven Sisters Road and Isledon Road form part of the Transport for London Network (TLRN), for which TfL is the highway authority. A s278 agreement and conditions as well as planning obligations are required re maintenance and construction impact. The Applicant is reminded that to deliver cycle parking on-street a Section 278 (S278) will be required with TfL as the highway authority for Seven Sisters Road.

TfL raised concerns about construction at both Pre-application and Stage 1 of the
GLA referral process. The current construction proposals are not accepted and
extensive further engagement with TfL will be required to agree an appropriate
construction methodology and access strategy for the site.

Approvals from TfL will be required for all temporary and permanent works and traffic management proposed along Seven Sisters Road. TfL do not support the footway closure proposed for long periods. It may be preferable to create a pit lane in the carriageway for one or more phases of the build, subject to traffic modelling demonstrating this would not cause bus journey time delays or extensive queuing of vehicles on the TLRN. The arrangement proposed at the southern end of the construction access, adjacent to the pedestrian crossing, may need to be redesigned. A Stage 1 Road Safety Audit will need to be carried out when it is developed in further detail. TfL is concerned about how pedestrians can be managed to make sure they comply with banksmen and cross Seven Sisters Road as would be required to avoid safety issues. The construction access may also limit visibility at and around the pedestrian crossing and traffic signals, especially when occupied by construction vehicles. Any significant increased risk of potential conflicts and collisions would be completely unacceptable, especially considering the Mayor and TfL's commitment to delivering a 'Vision Zero' approach in London to make its streets safer for all.

You are advised that in preparing the CLP, reference should be made to the Construction Logistics Plan Guidance provided by TfL, which can be found at the following web site: <u>http://content.tfl.gov.uk/construction-logistics-plan-guidance-for-developers.pdf</u>

### 20 Secure Design Informative

You are advised that in discharging condition 2? The Metropolitan Police make the following suggestions:

- Access and Movement the main reception should be the central location for the meeting and greeting of guests. From this location the access and movement throughout the entire building can be controlled. Any encrypted FOB access or card readers issued to residents should then allow access via lift control, stair cores and also each individual floor. This has the added benefit of restricting the movement of guests between floors and deter anti-social behaviour by large group bookings. Having access control from reception will also assist with the integrity of the building as with a bar present there is implied permission that any person my use it and therefore non-residents can access this area.
- Safe location for the storage of left luggage by guests, this sh9old be protected with PAS24:2016 door and strict management policy on its use.
- Hotel staff training should be given to the risks of Child Sexual Exploitation and on how to identify the signs that this is occurring. Presentations from the Metropolitan Police can be given to all staff under 'Operation Makesafe'.
- CCTV should be used in these vulnerable points to reinforce any security put in place.
- Cycle storage should be in a position where there is good natural surveillance, the stand used should allow for three points of locking (both wheels and the frame) and covered by CCTV. If within a secure room they should have the same security stands and protected with a PAS24:2016 door, minimum of two magnetic locks (two thirds from top and bottom) of the frame controlled with encrypted FOB access. Self-locking and self-closing mechanism fitted and no advertising what the use to the room is for.

	• Bin storage should be separate from the building but if it does have access into the hotel then the connecting door will need to be a PAS24:2016 minimum of two magnetic locks (two thirds from top and bottom) of the frame controlled with encrypted FOB access. Self-locking and self-closing mechanism fitted. The door to public realm can be 'robust and fit for purpose' with self-locking and self-closing mechanisms and no advertising what the use of the room is.
21	Biodiversity and sustainability
	Green roof areas should be installed under and in between the solar panels in order to maximise green roof coverage.
	The incorporation of small areas of soft landscaping and/or installation of planters to the external areas is recommended to provide biodiversity and drainage benefits.
	In relation to the bird boxes, at least on swift brick should be installed above 5 metres.
22	Refuse and recycling collection
	You are advised that in relation to condition 22 the Council will expect the proposals for

#### APPENDIX 2: RELEVANT POLICIES

This appendix lists all relevant development plan polices and guidance notes pertinent to the determination of this planning application.

#### 1 National Guidance

The National Planning Policy Framework 2018 seeks to secure positive growth in a way that effectively balances economic, environmental and social progress for this and future generations. The NPPF is a material consideration and has been taken into account as part of the assessment of these proposals.

#### 2. Development Plan

The Development Plan is comprised of the London Plan 2016, Islington Core Strategy 2011, Development Management Policies 2013, and Site Allocations 2013. The following policies of the Development Plan are considered relevant to this application:

## A) The London Plan 2016 - Spatial Development Strategy for Greater London

#### 1 Context and strategy

Policy 1.1 Delivering the strategic vision and objectives for London

#### 2 London's places

Policy 2.11 Inner London

#### 3 London's people

Policy 3.1 Ensuring equal life chances for all Policy 3.2 Improving health and addressing health inequalities Policy 3.9 Mixed and balanced communities

#### 4 London's economy

Policy 4.1 Developing London's economy Policy 4.5 London's visitor infrastructure Policy 4.9 Small shops Policy 4.12 Improving opportunities for all

## 5 London's response to climate change

Policy 5.1 Climate change mitigation Policy 5.2 Minimising carbon dioxide emissions Policy 5.3 Sustainable design and construction Policy 5.7 Renewable energy Policy 5.11 Green roofs and development site environs Policy 5.13 Sustainable drainage

## 6 London's transport

Policy 6.1 Strategic approach Policy 6.2 Providing public transport capacity and safeguarding land for transport Policy 6.3 Assessing effects of development on transport capacity Policy 6.4 Enhancing London's transport connectivity Policy 6.9 Cycling Policy 6.10 Walking Policy 6.12 Road network capacity

#### 7 London's living places and spaces

Policy 7.1 Building London's neighbourhoods and communities Policy 7.2 An inclusive environment Policy 7.3 Designing out crime Policy 7.4 Local character Policy 7.5 Public realm Policy 7.6 Architecture Policy 7.8 Heritage assets and archaeology Policy 7.13 Safety, security and resilience to emergency Policy 7.14 Improving air quality Policy 7.15 Reducing noise and enhancing soundscapes Policy 7.19 Biodiversity and access to nature

## 8 Implementation, monitoring and review

Policy 8.1 Implementation Policy 8.2 Planning obligations Policy 8.3 Community infrastructure levy

## B) Islington Core Strategy 2011

Policy CS2 (Finsbury Park) Policy CS8 (Enhancing Islington's Character) Policy CS9 (Protecting and Enhancing Islington's Built and Historic Environment) Policy CS10 (Sustainable Design)

Policy CS11 (Waste) Policy CS14 (Retail and Services) Policy CS18 (Delivery and Infrastructure) Policy CS19 (Health Impact Assessments) Policy CS20 (Partnership Working)

### C) Development Management Policies June 2013

Design and Heritage DM2.1 Design DM2.2 Inclusive Design DM2.3 Heritage Shops, culture and services DM4.1 Maintaining and promoting small and independent shops DM4.2 Entertainment and the night-time economy DM4.3 Location and concentration of uses DM4.4 Promoting Islington's Town Centres DM4.10 Public houses DM4.11 Hotels and visitor accommodation DM4.12 Social and strategic infrastructure and cultural facilities Health and open space DM6.5 Landscaping, trees and biodiversity DM6.6 Flood prevention

Energy and Environmental Standards DM7.1 Sustainable design and construction statements DM7.2 Energy efficiency and carbon reduction in minor schemes DM7.3 Decentralised energy networks DM7.4 Sustainable design standards DM7.5 Heating and cooling Transport DM8.1 Movement hierarchy DM8.2 Managing transport impacts DM8.3 Public transport DM8.4 Walking and cycling DM8.5 Vehicle parking DM8.6 Delivery and servicing for new developments Infrastructure DM9.1 Infrastructure DM9.2 Planning obligations **DM9.3** Implementation

## 5. <u>Designations</u>

The site has the following designations under the London Plan 2011, Islington Core Strategy 2011, Development Management Policies 2013:

- Finsbury Park Town Centre
- Finsbury Park key area
- cycle route
- Controlled Parking Zone

## 6. <u>Supplementary Planning Guidance (SPG) / Document (SPD)</u>

The following SPGs and/or SPDs are relevant:

#### Islington Local Plan

- Environmental Design SPD (adopted October 2012)
- Finsbury Park Development
   Framework SPD (March 2015)
- Finsbury Park Town Centre SPD (June 2014)
- Inclusive Design in Islington SPD (adopted February 2014)
- Inclusive Landscape Design SPD (adopted January 2010)
- Planning Obligations (Section 106) SPD (adopted November 2013) and replacement SPD (consultation draft

## London Plan

- Accessible London: Achieving an Inclusive Environment SPG (adopted October 2014)
- The Control of Dust and Emissions During Construction and Demolition SPG (July 2014)
- London Planning Statement SPG (adopted May 2014)
- Planning for Equality and Diversity in London SPG (adopted October 2007)
- Shaping Neighbourhoods Character and Context SPG

published July 2016)

- Streetbook SPD (adopted October 2012)
- Urban Design Guide SPD (adopted December 2006) and replacement Urban Design Guide SPD (consultation draft published July 2016)

(adopted June 2014)

- Social Infrastructure SPG (adopted May 2015)
- Sustainable Design and Construction SPG (adopted April 2014)
- Town Centres SPG (adopted July 2014)
- Use of Planning Obligations in the Funding of Crossrail, and the Mayoral Community Infrastructure Levy SPG (adopted April 2013)

#### **APPENDIX 3 DESIGN REVIEW PANEL LETTER**



## CONFIDENTIAL

ATT: Alexandra Milne DP9 Ltd 100 Pall Mall London SW1Y 5NQ Planning Service Planning and Development PO Box 333 222 Upper Street London N1 1YA

T 020 7527 2389 F 020 7527 2731 E Luciana.grave@islington.gov.uk W www.islington.gov.uk

Our ref: DRP/118

Date: 4 April 2017

Dear Alexandra Milne,

#### ISLINGTON DESIGN REVIEW PANEL RE: 240 Seven Sisters Road, London, N4 2HX (pre-application ref. Q2016/0687/MJR)

Thank you for attending Islington's Design Review Panel meeting on 14 March 2017 for a first review of the above scheme. The proposed scheme under consideration is for a 10-storey building accommodating a 228 room hotel and a ground floor restaurant and bar (officer's description).

#### **Review Process**

The Design Review Panel provides expert impartial design advice following the 10 key principles of design review established by Design Council/CABE. The scheme was reviewed by The scheme was reviewed by Dominic Papa (chair), David Gibson, Sarah Featherstone, Malcolm Turner, Stephen Archer and Martin Pearson on 14 March 2017 including a site visit and presentation from the design team followed by a question and answer session and deliberations at the offices of the London Borough of Islington. The views expressed below are a reflection of the Panel's discussions as an independent advisory body to the Council.

#### Panel's observations

#### Site and use

The Panel recognised that it was a challenging site adjacent to the railway lines/bridge and a heavily trafficked and polluted road and accepted that this made policy compliant uses other than a hotel use difficult. A hotel is a suitable town centre use in such a highly accessible location. Panel members noted the history of the site as an important live music venue and questioned whether there was greater scope for a larger basement, perhaps as a venue space, or alternatively simply allowing the ground floor to work harder.

#### Height, bulk and massing

The Panel had strong concerns over the excessive height, bulk and massing of the proposed hotel building. They did not view the site as a 'corner site' but as an extension of the street. Panel members were concerned at the perceived 'wall' of development, its impact on the prominence of the tower to the Grade II\* former Rainbow Theatre and its extremely close proximity to the Pure Highbury building. They stated that further information on the

relationship between the two buildings in terms of privacy, daylight and sunlight would be required.

Panel members did not support a design which proposes bedrooms longer and, therefore, larger than necessary simply to bring the building line below out to allow for the bedrooms to the top two floors to be set-back. If a set-back were to be proposed the rooms should be replanned to avoid bedrooms to floors below being longer/larger than necessary.

The Panel recommended that the building should be reduced by two storeys. One possibility could be omitting both the set-back glazed floors, which would help avoid the aforementioned layout issue, or omitting one brick floor and one set-back glazed floor. The reduction in height would then help reduce the bulk and any negative visual impact arising from this.

#### Elevational treatment and articulation

Panel members questioned whether the elevations could be better articulated. The Panel observed that the brick panels appear to 'float' above the glazed ground floor in contrast to the example of the Turnmills building which is grounded with brick piers. Panel members also questioned the precise appearance of the two set-back floors as further information is required.

#### Public realm and landscaping

The Panel welcomed the proposed pavement widening and tree planting but required further information. Panel members questioned the possible appearance of the 'plaza' and how it might function and sought confirmation on what trees could be planted where. Further information would also be required on any proposed planting to the set-back and how this would work in relation to a balustrade. A glazed balustrade was considered unsuitable in such a heavily trafficked, polluted environment as it would need regular cleaning.

#### Servicing

The Panel would have liked more detailed information on the proposed servicing of the building. However, they recommended that rather than simply utilising the existing loading bays, the servicing of the buildings in the immediate area should be reviewed in case there is a possibility of wider improvements being made. A study might reveal, for example, a possibility to relocate the existing loading bay to better service the evolving uses of this and adjoining sites. Panel members noted the unsatisfactory distance from the proposed hotel entrance and the loading bay where taxis would pick-up from.

#### Summary

The Panel accepted the proposed hotel use, but raised strong concerns over the excessive height, bulk and massing of the proposed building which would have a negative impact on the townscape and GII\* former Rainbow Theatre. Consequently, panel members recommend that the building should be reduced by two storeys as the reduction in height would then help reduce any negative visual impact arising from the bulk. The Panel did not support a design which proposes bedrooms longer and, therefore, larger than necessary simply to allow for the bedrooms to the top two floors to be set-back. Panel members welcomed the proposed pavement widening and tree planting but required further information, especially as to how the public realm will appear and function. The Panel required more detailed information on the proposed servicing of the building and recommended that the immediate area should be reviewed in case there is a possibility of wider improvements being made. As currently proposed the Panel was not supportive of the scheme and thought the above concerns would need to be addressed prior to the submission of an application.



Thank you for consulting Islington's Design Review Panel. If there is any point that requires clarification please do not hesitate to contact me and I will be happy to seek further advice from the Panel.

#### Confidentiality

Please note that since the scheme is at planning application stage, the views expressed in this letter may become public and will be taken into account by the council in the assessment of the proposal and determination of the application.

Yours sincerely,

Luciana Grave Design Review Panel Coordinator Design & Conservation Team Manager